

Nebraska Judicial Branch

Weighted Caseload Report

County Courts

Reporting Period
Fiscal Year 2021

July 1, 2020 to
June 30, 2021



Table of Contents

Introduction	1
State Map – Nebraska County Court Judicial Need.....	2
1 st Judicial District.....	3
2 nd Judicial District.....	4
3 rd Judicial District	5
4 th Judicial District	6
5 th Judicial District	7
6 th Judicial District	8
7 th Judicial District	9
8 th Judicial District	10
9 th Judicial District	11
10 th Judicial District	12
11 th Judicial District	13
12 th Judicial District	14
Court Case Type Categories and Weights - Appendix	15

Weighted Caseload Report

Nebraska County Courts Weighted Caseload Report

Nebraska has a county court in each of its 93 counties, organized into 12 Judicial Districts. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-503, the Legislature determines the number of county court judges who serve in each judicial district, and the geographic boundaries of each judicial district. An objective assessment of judicial workload allows informed decisions about district boundaries and the number of judges needed to timely resolve the cases in each judicial district.

To assist in evaluating judicial workloads, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1007(1) requires the Nebraska Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOCB) to compile judicial workload statistics based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. These weighted caseload statistics are used by the Judicial Branch, the Judicial Resources Commission, and the Legislature to evaluate judicial need, and guide decisions and recommendations on how best to allocate judicial resources across the state.

To ensure the validity, uniformity and accuracy of the AOCB's judicial workload statistics, a statewide judicial time study was conducted in 2019-2020 under the direction and leadership of the National Center for State Courts. For a full description of the judicial time study and the recommended weighting methodology and standards, see *Nebraska Judicial Workload Assessment Final Report* (October 2020) on the Nebraska Supreme Court Website. Because this Weighted Caseload Report utilizes the methodology and standards from the 2020 workload assessment, direct comparison to archived reports is not recommended.

No quantitative judicial workload assessment method, including the weighted caseload method, can determine the exact number of judges needed within each judicial district. But weighted caseload statistics can approximate the number of judges needed to handle the current caseload in each judicial district. When weighted caseload statistics are examined in conjunction with other important influencing factors it provides an objective and standardized way to assess judicial need and to fairly allocate judicial resources among judicial districts.




Corey R. Steel | Nebraska State Court Administrator
Nebraska Supreme Court
Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation

Rm. 1213 State Capitol | P.O. Box 98910 | Lincoln, NE 68509
T 402.471.3730 | F 402.471.2197
www.supremecourt.ne.gov

Weighted Caseload Report

Nebraska County Court Judicial Needs

Fiscal Year 2021 (July 1, 2020 – June 30, 2021)



Differences between the total District Court Need for Judges and the sum of individual counties is due to rounding to the nearest one-hundredth.



Weighted Caseload Report

1st Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.67
 Current number of judges: 3
 Workload per judge: 0.89

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Judges Serving the 1st District




Weighted Caseload Report



2nd Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 3.34
 Current number of judges: 4
 Workload per judge: 0.84

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 2nd District




Weighted Caseload Report

3rd Judicial District –County Court


County court need for judges: 6.55
 Current number of judges: 7
 Workload per judge: 0.94

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 3rd District

Acton
Dalton
Parsley
Phillips
Reuter
Yardley
Zimmerman



Weighted Caseload Report

4th Judicial District –County Court


County court need for judges: 12.35
 Current number of judges: 12
 Workload per judge: 1.03

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 4th District

- Forsberg**
- Hansen**
- Harmon**
- Hendrix**
- Huber**
- Keim**
- Lohaus**
- Lowe**
- Marcuzzo**
- McDermott**
- Shearer**
- Vaughn**




Weighted Caseload Report



5th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 4.12
 Current number of judges: 5
 Workload per judge: 0.82

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 5th District




Weighted Caseload Report



6th Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 3.33
 Current number of judges: 4
 Workload per judge: 0.83

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 6th District




Weighted Caseload Report



7th Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.73
 Current number of judges: 3
 Workload per judge: 0.91

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 7th District





Weighted Caseload Report

8th Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.04
 Current number of judges: 3
 Workload per judge: 0.68

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Judges Serving the 8th District




Weighted Caseload Report

9th Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 4.50
 Current number of judges: 4
 Workload per judge: 1.12

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 9th District

-  **Corey**
Hall
-  **Jorgensen**
Buffalo
-  **Rademacher**
Buffalo
Hall
-  **Wetzel**
Hall




Weighted Caseload Report

10th Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 2.94
 Current number of judges: 3
 Workload per judge: 0.98

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 10th District

- Burns**
 - Adams (38%)
 - Clay (90%)
 - Fillmore (90%)
 - Nuckolls (86%)
- Hoeft**
 - Adams (24%)
 - Franklin (50%)
 - Harlan (100%)
 - Kearney (54%)
 - Phelps (75%)
 - Webster (48%)
- Mead**
 - Adams (38%)
 - Clay (10%)
 - Fillmore (10%)
 - Franklin (50%)
 - Kearney (46%)
 - Nuckolls (14%)
 - Phelps (25%)
 - Webster (52%)




Weighted Caseload Report

11th Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 5.19
 Current number of judges: 5
 Workload per judge: 1.04

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 11th District

- Jay
- Hooker
- Lincoln
- Logan
- McPherson
- Thomas
- Conflict Cases in Keith
- Paine
- Furnas
- Hayes
- Hitchcock
- Red Willow
- Conflict Cases in Dawson
- Steenburg
- Arthur
- Chase
- Dundy
- Keith
- Perkins
- Turnbull
- Frontier
- Lincoln
- Conflict Cases in Dawson
- Wightman
- Dawson
- Gosper




Weighted Caseload Report



12th Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 4.34
 Current number of judges: 5
 Workload per judge: 0.87

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 12th District



Weighted Caseload Report

Court Case Type Categories and Weights – Appendix

District Court Case Types	2021 Case Weight (minutes)
Problem Solving Court Cases	683
Protection Orders	32
Civil	219
Class I Felony	367
Other Criminal	149
Domestic Relations	97
Appeals	343
Administrative Appeals	540
County Court Case Types	2021 Case Weight (minutes)
Protection Orders	32
Felony	26
Misdemeanor	23
District Court: Adult Problem-Solving Court	683
Traffic	1
Civil	8
Probate	61
Guardianship/Conservatorship	133
Small Claims	30
Adoption	92
Domestic Relations	97
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Juvenile: Delinquency	100
Juvenile: Status Offender 3B	37
Juvenile: Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Juvenile: Bridge to Independence (B21)	58
Juvenile: Interstate Compact Hearings/Filings	2
Juvenile: Problem-Solving Court Cases	654
Separate Juvenile Court Case Types	2021 Case Weight (minutes)
Adoption	49
Domestic Relations	26
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Delinquency	136
Status Offender 3B	54
Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Bridge to Independence B21	36
Interstate Compact Hearing/Filings	2
Problem Solving Court Cases	654