


**HEARING AGENDA**  
**JUDICIAL RESOURCES COMMISSION**  
**April 11, 2025 – 10 a.m. CDT**  
**Supreme Court Courtroom, State Capitol, Lincoln, Nebraska**  
**Proceedings include virtual conferencing VIA WEBINAR**

- I. Call meeting to order; roll call of members.
- II. Approve minutes from meeting held January 17, 2025.
- III. Identify/receive exhibits to be considered by Commission during public hearing.

Exhibit 1: Meeting Agenda  
Exhibit 2: Minutes of the January 17, 2025, hearing.  
Exhibit 3: Press Release re: Appointment of Justice Jason M. Bergevin  
Exhibit 4: Resignation letter of Judge Matthew Neher  
Exhibit 5: Retirement letter of Judge Edward D. Steenburg  
Exhibit 6: NSBA letter of Judge Tricia Freeman  
Exhibit 7: 2024 County Court Weighted Caseload Report  
Exhibit 8: 2024 District court Weighted Caseload Report

- IV. Conduct Public Hearing on any judicial resignation:
  - a. Whether a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the District Court, 5th Judicial District, due to the appointment of Justice Jason M. Bergevin to the Supreme Court, and if so, whether to recommend a primary office location.
  - b. Whether a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the District Court, 11th Judicial District, due to the resignation of Judge Matthew Neher, and if so, whether to recommend a primary office location.
  - c. Whether a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the County Court, 11th Judicial District, due to the retirement of Judge Edward D. Steenburg, and if so, whether to recommend a primary office location.
- V. Adjourn



**MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC HEARING OF  
THE NEBRASKA JUDICIAL RESOURCES COMMISSION  
January 17, 2025**

Pursuant to the press release issued January 6, 2025, the public hearing of the Nebraska Judicial Resources Commission was held on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of January 2025, in the Nebraska Supreme Court Courtroom, State Capitol Building, in Lincoln, Nebraska.

*AGENDA ITEM I:* The Chair called the proceedings to order at approximately 10 a.m. The proceedings included a Zoom videoconferencing option for Commission members and for members of the public.

PRESENT (\* denotes Zoom attendance)

Justice Jonathan Papik  
Judge Anne Paine\*  
Judge Travis O’Gorman\*  
Judge Matthew Kahler\*  
Taylor Gage  
Cyd Hall\*  
Kenneth Hartman  
Jane Langan Mach  
Nancy McCabe\*  
Brian Phares\*  
Meagan Spomer\*  
Darlene Starman  
Ron Temple\*  
Jacqueline Tessendorf\*  
Maria Whitmore\*

EXCUSED

Roxanne Kracl  
Robert Parker

*AGENDA ITEM II:* The Chair confirmed that all Commission members had received and reviewed the minutes from the December 13, 2024, hearing. On a voice vote the commissioners unanimously accepted the minutes of December 13.

*AGENDA ITEM III:* The following exhibits were received and considered by the Commission, and are attached to these minutes:

- Exhibit 1: Meeting Agenda
- Exhibit 2: Minutes of the December 13, 2024, hearing.
- Exhibit 3: Retirement letter of Judge W. Russell Bowie
- Exhibit 4: Retirement letter of Mark A. Johnson
- Exhibit 5: Retirement letter of Jeffrey L. Marcuzzo
- Exhibit 6: Judge Marcela Keim Letter re 4<sup>th</sup> CC
- Exhibit 7: Judge James Kube Letter re 7<sup>th</sup> DC
- Exhibit 8: NSBA Tricia Freeman Letter re JRC
- Exhibit 9: 2024 County Court Weighted Caseload Report



Exhibit 10: 2024 District Court Weighted Caseload Report

Exhibit 11: Judge Jeffrey Lux Letter re 4<sup>th</sup> DC

Exhibit 12: Kevin Slimp Letter re 4<sup>th</sup> CC

Exhibit 10: Omaha Bar Association, Angela Lennon letter re: 4<sup>th</sup> District, County Court

*AGENDA ITEM IV:* The public hearing was conducted, and the Commission received and considered public testimony from: Judge Jeffrey Lux, 4<sup>th</sup> District, District Court; Judge Marcella Keim, 4<sup>th</sup> District, County Court; Judge Grant Forsberg, 4<sup>th</sup> District, County Court; and Corey Steel, State Court Administrator for Court Services.

(a): It was moved by Ken Hartman and seconded by Meagan Spomer to declare a judicial vacancy in the District Court in the 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial District due to the retirement of Judge W. Russell Bowie, with the primary location being Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

(b): It was moved by Meagan Spomer and seconded by Jane Langan Mach to declare a judicial vacancy in the District Court in the 7<sup>th</sup> Judicial District due to the retirement of Judge Mark A. Johnson, with the primary location being Madison, Madison County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

(c): It was moved by Ken Hartman and seconded by Jane Langan Mach to declare a judicial vacancy in the County Court in the 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial District due to the retirement of Judge Jeffrey L. Marcuzzo, with the primary location being Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

*AGENDA ITEM V:* There being no other matters, the Commission adjourned.

Respectfully submitted:



Justice Jonathan J. Papik  
Chair

# Gov. Pillen Appoints Jason Bergevin as Associate Justice to the Nebraska Supreme Court

January 2, 2025

**CONTACT:**

Laura Strimple, (402) 580-9495

## Gov. Pillen Appoints Jason Bergevin as Associate Justice to the Nebraska Supreme Court

**LINCOLN, NE** – Today, Governor Jim Pillen announced his appointment of the Honorable Jason M. Bergevin of Columbus as an associate justice to the Nebraska Supreme Court representing the Fifth Judicial District.

"Judge Bergevin is a dedicated jurist with extensive experience as an attorney and most recently as a district judge representing the Fifth Judicial District," said Gov. Pillen. "I have great confidence in Judge Bergevin's abilities, and I know he has deep respect and integrity when it comes to matters of the court. I am pleased to elevate him to this role on Nebraska's Supreme Court."

"I am honored to be chosen as the next judge of the Nebraska Supreme Court from the Fifth Judicial District," said Justice Bergevin. "Three highly qualified applicants stepped forward for this position. I appreciate Governor Pillen's confidence in me. I will work hard to continue serving the Nebraska Judicial Branch and the people of our state."

In addition to his recent role as district judge (2022), Bergevin also oversaw the opening of one of the state's newest problem-solving courts in Platte County this summer. He was an assistant attorney general in the Nebraska Attorney General's office for seven years where he worked with county attorneys in the prosecution of complex cases, was legal advisor to multiple state agencies and reviewed agency regulations. Bergevin also held multiple positions in the U.S. Air Force Judge Advocate General's Corps up until 2022 and has prior experience in both the Lancaster County Attorney's office and the Weld County District Attorney's office in Greeley Colorado.

Bergevin holds a bachelor's degree in secondary education from the University of Nebraska – Lincoln (UNL) and earned his juris doctor from Western New England University School of Law in Massachusetts.

The Fifth Judicial District for the Nebraska Supreme Court consists of Butler, Cass, Clay, Colfax, Fillmore, Gage, Hall, Hamilton, Jefferson, Johnson, Merrick, Nance, Nemaha, Nuckolls, Otoe, Pawnee, Platte, Polk, Richardson, Saline, Saunders, Seward, Thayer, Webster, and York counties.

The vacancy was due to Judge Jeffrey Funke's appointment as chief justice of the Nebraska Supreme Court.



March 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025

Governor Jim Pillen  
Office of the Governor  
P.O. Box 94848  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4848


Dear Governor Pillen:

I am very thankful for the opportunity you provided me when you appointed me to serve as a District Court Judge. I have learned so much during my time in this position and will take with me the lessons that I have learned.

After evaluating the last fourteen months, I have come to the conclusion that I am better suited for a different position in the legal profession. After much consideration, prayer and discussion with my wife, I am resigning effective April 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025.

Thank you again.

Sincerely,



Judge Matthew d'Argy Neher



KEITH COUNTY COURT  
11<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT

511 N. Spruce Street, Rm 105  
Ogallala, Nebraska 69153  
Phone: 308 284-3693  
Fax: 308 284-6825

Edward D. Steenburg, County Judge  
Robin Cobaugh, Clerk Magistrate  
Yuri Cole, Assistant Clerk  
Amber Mironenko, Courtroom Clerk  
Brenda Stephens, Records Clerk

December 9, 2024

Gov. Jim Pillen  
P.O. Box 94848  
Lincoln, NE. 68509-4848  
Re: retirement – County Judge – Keith County

RECEIVED

DEC - 9 2024

Office of the Chief Justice

Dear Governor Pillen:

Please accept this letter as notice of my retirement, effective February 28, 2025. It has been my honor to serve the State of Nebraska and the residents of the 11<sup>th</sup> judicial district for the past 21 years. It has also been a privilege to work with the county judges serving the State of Nebraska.

I have been blessed to work with dedicated court employees in the counties I serve; they are asset to the court system. I cannot thank them enough for the work they do each and every day.

I wish you the very best in your tenure as Governor.

Respectfully,

Edward D. Steenburg  
County Judge

cc: Chief Justice Jeffrey J. Funke  
Corey Steel



# Nebraska State Bar Association

*"Helping lawyers help people"*



April 7, 2025

The Honorable Jonathan J. Papik  
Nebraska Supreme Court Justice  
State Capitol, #2218  
Lincoln, NE 68509

Dear Justice Papik:

On behalf of the NSBA Judicial Resources Committee ("the Committee"), I wish to convey to the members of the Judicial Resources Commission our recommendation regarding the vacancies in the District Court in the 5th District due to the appointment of Judge Bergevin to the Nebraska Supreme Court, the District Court in the 11th Judicial District due to the resignation of Judge Nehr, and the vacancy in the County Court in the 11th Judicial District due to the retirement of Judge Steenburg.

After reviewing the Judicial Weighted Caseload Reports ("Judicial Workload Assessment") for FY 2024, the Committee concluded that the State's justice system will not have adequate judicial resources available unless the current vacancies are filled expeditiously. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the current vacancies in the 5th and 11th Districts be filled.


We do not have a recommendation regarding the location of the home court for the vacancies in the 11th District, but we wish to thank the Chief Justice for actively soliciting feedback from the lawyers in the 11th district regarding the location.

Thank you for your consideration of the recommendations set forth herein. Please include this letter with the materials provided to Judicial Resources Commission members before your April 11th meeting.

Sincerely,

Hon. Tricia A. Freeman  
NSBA President

Cc: Corey Steel  
Liz Neeley



NEBRASKA JUDICIAL BRANCH

# County Courts

## Weighted Caseload Report



**Fiscal Year 2024**

July 1, 2023, to  
June 30, 2024

EXHIBIT

7



## Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
State Map – Nebraska County Court Judicial Need .....	2
1 <sup>st</sup> Judicial District .....	3
2 <sup>nd</sup> Judicial District .....	4
3 <sup>rd</sup> Judicial District.....	5
4 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	6
5 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	7
6 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	8
7 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	9
8 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	10
9 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	11
10 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	12
11 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	13
12 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	14
Court Case Type Categories and Weights - Appendix.....	15

# Weighted Caseload Report

## Nebraska County Courts Weighted Caseload Report


Nebraska has a county court in each of its 93 counties, organized into 12 Judicial Districts. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-503, the Legislature determines the number of county court judges who serve in each judicial district, and the geographic boundaries of each judicial district. An objective assessment of judicial workload allows informed decisions about district boundaries and the number of judges needed to timely resolve the cases in each judicial district.

To assist in evaluating judicial workloads, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1007(1) requires the Nebraska Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOC) to compile judicial workload statistics based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. These weighted caseload statistics are used by the Judicial Branch, the Judicial Resources Commission, and the Legislature to evaluate judicial need, and guide decisions and recommendations on how best to allocate judicial resources across the state.

To ensure the validity, uniformity and accuracy of the AOC's judicial workload statistics, a statewide judicial time study was conducted in 2019-2020 under the direction and leadership of the National Center for State Courts. For a full description of the judicial time study and the recommended weighting methodology and standards, see *Nebraska Judicial Workload Assessment Final Report* (October 2020) on the Nebraska Supreme Court Website. Because this Weighted Caseload Report utilizes the methodology and standards from the 2020 workload assessment, direct comparison to archived reports is not recommended.

No quantitative judicial workload assessment method, including the weighted caseload method, can determine the exact number of judges needed within each court. For example, judges may be asked to assist non-home districts to ensure speedy trials for all involved and that one district is not unduly overburdened. **To address this challenge, the Research and Data Team have added an asterisk next to the name of the judges that provided judicial assistance in the last fiscal year (FY 2024) to other districts.**

Weighted caseload statistics approximate the number of judges needed to handle the current caseload based upon the calculations of a three-year rolling average of case filing data. Therefore, when weighted caseload statistics are examined in conjunction with other compelling and critical metrics, they provide a vital part of an objective and standardized assessment of judicial needs and the fair allocation of judicial resources across juvenile courts in the State of Nebraska.



**Corey R. Steel**

**Nebraska State Court Administrator**

**Nebraska Supreme Court**

**Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation**


Rm. 1211 State Capitol | P.O. Box 98910 | Lincoln, NE 68509

T 402.471.3730 | F 402.471.2197

[www.supremecourt.nebraska.gov](http://www.supremecourt.nebraska.gov)

# Weighted Caseload Report

## Nebraska County Court Judicial Needs Fiscal Year 2024 (July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024)



Note: Differences between the total District Court Need for Judges and the sum of individual counties is due to rounding to the nearest one-hundredth.



# Weighted Caseload Report

## 1<sup>st</sup> Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.50  
 Current number of judges: 3  
 Workload per judge: 0.83

**NOTE:** An additional **0.02** is given due to Judge R. Smith being assigned to Problem-Solving Court cases in Fillmore County (District Court) and Otoe County (District Court).

Predicted judicial resources need by county




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 3.75  
 Current number of judges: 4  
 Workload per judge: 0.94

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 2<sup>nd</sup> District

- Freeman\* Sarpy
- Hutton Sarpy
- Palm\* Sarpy
- Partsch\* Cass
- Otoe



# Weighted Caseload Report



## 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District –County Court

County court need for judges:	6.15
Current number of judges:	7
Workload per judge:	0.88

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Judges Serving the 3rd District

- Acton\*
- Dalton\*
- Parsley\*
- Phillips
- Reuter\*
- Yardley\*
- Zimmerman\*



# Weighted Caseload Report

## 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial District –County Court


County court need for judges: 10.80  
Current number of judges: 12  
Workload per judge: 0.90

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 4th District

- Finley
- Forsberg\*
- Hansen
- Harmon
- Hendrix
- Huber
- Keim
- Lohaus\*
- Lowe
- Marcuzzo
- McDermott
- Shearer\*




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 5<sup>th</sup> Judicial District - County Court


County court need for judges: 4.41  
 Current number of judges: 5  
 Workload per judge: 0.88

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 5th District

- Homolka\*
- Hamilton
- York
- Lange\*
- Colfax
- Saunders
- Kracl
- Platte
- Petersen\*
- Butler
- Seward
- Conflict Cases in Saunders
- Twiss\*
- Boone
- Merrick
- Nance
- Polk






# Weighted Caseload Report


## 6<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 3.53  
 Current number of judges: 4  
 Workload per judge: 0.88

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 6th District




# Weighted Caseload Report



## 7<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.53  
 Current number of judges: 3  
 Workload per judge: 0.84

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 7th District





# Weighted Caseload Report

## 8<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 1.82  
 Current number of judges: 3  
 Workload per judge: 0.61

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Judges Serving the 8th District




# Weighted Caseload Report



## 9<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges:	4.09
Current number of judges:	5
Workload per judge:	0.82

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 9th District




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 10<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.66  
 Current number of judges: 3  
 Workload per judge: 0.89

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 10th District

**Burns\***


Adams (38%)  
 Clay (90%)  
 Fillmore (90%)  
 Nuckolls (86%)

**Hoelt\***

Adams (24%)  
 Franklin (50%)  
 Harlan (100%)  
 Kearney (54%)  
 Phelps (75%)  
 Webster (48%)

**Mead\***

Adams (38%)  
 Clay (10%)  
 Fillmore (10%)  
 Franklin (50%)  
 Kearney (46%)  
 Nuckolls (14%)  
 Phelps (25%)  
 Webster (52%)




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 11<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 4.89  
 Current number of judges: 5  
 Workload per judge: 0.98


Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 11th District

- Jay\*
- Hooker
- Lincoln
- Logan
- McPherson
- Thomas
- Conflict Cases in Keith
- Paine\*
- Frontier
- Furnas
- Hayes
- Hitchcock
- Red Willow
- Conflict Cases in Dawson
- Roberts-Connick
- Lincoln
- Conflict Cases in Dawson
- Steenburg
- Arthur
- Chase
- Dundy
- Keith
- Perkins
- Wightman\*
- Dawson
- Gosper

County Court Judicial District 11  
 Total Workload Minutes  
 Sum (cases x weight)




# Weighted Caseload Report





















## 12<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 4.18  
 Current number of judges: 5  
 Workload per judge: 0.84

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 12th District

	<b>Conn</b>
	Dawes
	Sheridan
	Sioux
	<b>Mickey*</b>
	Banner
	Scotts Bluff
	<b>Roland*</b>
	Cheyenne
	Deuel
	Garden
	Kimball
	<b>Wess</b>
	Box Butte
	Grant
	Morrill
	Sheridan
	<b>Worden</b>
	Banner
	Scotts Bluff




# Weighted Caseload Report

## Court Case Type Categories and Weights – Appendix

District Court Case Types	2021 Case Weight (minutes)
Problem Solving Court Cases	683
Protection Orders	32
Civil	219
Class I Felony	367
Other Criminal	149
Domestic Relations	97
Appeals	343
Administrative Appeals	540
County Court Case Types	2021 Case Weight (minutes)
Protection Orders	32
Felony	26
Misdemeanor	23
District Court: Adult Problem-Solving Court	683
Traffic	1
Civil	8
Probate	61
Guardianship/Conservatorship	133
Small Claims	30
Adoption	92
Domestic Relations	97
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Juvenile: Delinquency	100
Juvenile: Status Offender 3B	37
Juvenile: Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Juvenile: Bridge to Independence (B21)	58
Juvenile: Interstate Compact Hearings/Filings	2
Juvenile: Problem-Solving Court Cases	654
Separate Juvenile Court Case Types	2021 Case Weight (minutes)
Adoption	49
Domestic Relations	26
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Delinquency	136
Status Offender 3B	54
Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Bridge to Independence B21	36
Interstate Compact Hearing/Filings	2
Problem Solving Court Cases	654





## **Research and Data**

**Operations Division**

**Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation**

**Chief Standing Bear Justice Administration Building**

**521 S. 14th Street Suite 300 | Lincoln, NE 68508**

**T 531.739.8100 | F 402.471.2197**

NEBRASKA JUDICIAL BRANCH


# District Courts

## Weighted Caseload Report



**Fiscal Year 2024**

July 1, 2023 to  
June 30, 2024



## Table of Contents

Introduction .....	1
State Map – Nebraska District Court Judicial Need .....	2
1 <sup>st</sup> Judicial District .....	3
2 <sup>nd</sup> Judicial District .....	4
3 <sup>rd</sup> Judicial District.....	5
4 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	6
5 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	7
6 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	8
7 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	9
8 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	10
9 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	11
10 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	12
11 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	13
12 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District.....	14
Court Case Type Categories and Weights - Appendix.....	15

# Weighted Caseload Report

## Nebraska District Courts Weighted Caseload Report

Nebraska has a district court in each of its 93 counties, organized into 12 Judicial Districts. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-301.02, the Legislature determines the number of district court judges who serve in each judicial district, and the geographic boundaries of each judicial district. An objective assessment of judicial workload allows informed decisions about district boundaries and the number of judges needed to timely resolve the cases in each judicial district.

To assist in evaluating judicial workloads, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1007(1) requires the Nebraska Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOC) to compile judicial workload statistics based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. These weighted caseload statistics are used by the Judicial Branch, the Judicial Resources Commission, and the Legislature to evaluate judicial need, and guide decisions and recommendations on how best to allocate judicial resources across the state.

To ensure the validity, uniformity and accuracy of the AOC's judicial workload statistics, a statewide judicial time study was conducted in 2019-2020 under the direction and leadership of the National Center for State Courts. For a full description of the judicial time study and the recommended weighting methodology and standards, see *Nebraska Judicial Workload Assessment Final Report* (October 2020) on the Nebraska Supreme Court Website. Because this Weighted Caseload Report utilizes the methodology and standards from the 2020 workload assessment, direct comparison to archived reports is not recommended.

No quantitative judicial workload assessment method, including the weighted caseload method, can determine the exact number of judges needed within each court. For example, judges may be asked to assist non-home districts to ensure speedy trials for all involved and that one district is not unduly overburdened. **To address this challenge, the Research and Data Team have added an asterisk next to the name of the judges that provided judicial assistance in the last fiscal year (FY 2024) to other districts.**

Weighted caseload statistics approximate the number of judges needed to handle the current caseload based upon the calculations of a three-year rolling average of case filing data. Therefore, when weighted caseload statistics are examined in conjunction with other compelling and critical metrics, they provide a vital part of an objective and standardized assessment of judicial needs and the fair allocation of judicial resources across juvenile courts in the State of Nebraska.




**Corey R. Steel | State Court Administrator**  
**Nebraska Supreme Court**  
**Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation**  
Rm. 1211 State Capitol | P.O. Box 98910 | Lincoln, NE 68509  
T 402.471.3730 | F 402.471.2197  
[www.supremecourt.nebraska.gov](http://www.supremecourt.nebraska.gov)

# Weighted Caseload Report

## Nebraska District Court Judicial Needs

Fiscal Year 2024 (July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024)



Note: Differences between the total District Court Need for Judges and the sum of individual counties is due to rounding to the nearest one-hundredth.

# Weighted Caseload Report

## 1<sup>st</sup> Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 2.99



Current number of judges: 3

Workload per judge: 1.00

Notre: Judge Schreiner helps Judge Smith in Nemaha County as Needed

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Judges Serving the 1st District




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 4.43  
 Current number of judges: 4  
 Workload per judge: 1.11

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 2nd District

- Cox\***  
Sarpy
- Martinez\***  
Sarpy
- M. Smith\***  
Cass
- Thompson\***  
Sarpy




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 8.49  
Current number of judges: 8  
Workload per judge: 1.06

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 3rd District

- Ideus\*
- Jacobsen\*
- Maret
- McManaman
- Nelson
- Mellor\*
- Post\*
- Strong\*





# Weighted Caseload Report

## 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 18.96  
Current number of judges: 18  
Workload per judge: 1.05

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 4th District



Alioth\*  
Bataillon\*  
Benson\*  
Bowie\*  
Burns\*  
Derr\*  
Dougherty\*  
Engleman\*  
Keane\*  
Lux\*  
Masteller\*  
Miller Pankonin  
Polk  
Retelsdorf  
Srb\*  
Stratman  
Vaughn\*  
Wheelock



# Weighted Caseload Report

## 5<sup>th</sup> Judicial District - District Court

District court need for judges: 3.55  
 Current number of judges: 4  
 Workload per judge: 0.89




# Weighted Caseload Report



## 6<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 2.42  
 Current number of judges: 3  
 Workload per judge: 0.81

Predicted judicial resources need by county





Judges Serving the 6th District



# Weighted Caseload Report

## 7<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 1.96  
 Current number of judges: 2  
 Workload per judge: 0.98




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 8<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 1.17.  
 Current number of judges: 2  
 Workload per judge: 0.59

### Predicted judicial resources need by county

### Judges Serving the 8th District



- Kozisek\***
  - Blaine
  - Boyd
  - Brown
  - Cherry
  - Garfield
  - Holt
  - Keya Paha
  - Loup
  - Rock
- Noakes\***
  - Custer
  - Greeley
  - Howard
  - Sherman
  - Valley
  - Wheeler




# Weighted Caseload Report



## 9<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 3.68  
 Current number of judges: 4  
 Workload per judge: 0.92

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 9th District




# Weighted Caseload Report

## 10<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 1.93  
 Current number of judges: 2  
 Workload per judge: 0.97

### Predicted judicial resources need by county

### Judges Serving the 10th District



- Farquhar\***
- Adams
  - Clay
  - Kearney
  - Nuckolls
  - Phelps
  - Webster
- Vacant (Harder)**
- Adams
  - Franklin
  - Harlan
  - Kearney
  - Phelps
  - Webster



# Weighted Caseload Report


## 11<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 3.74

Current number of judges: 4

Workload per judge: 0.94

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 11th District



**Heng\***

Chase  
Dundy  
Frontier  
Furnas  
Hayes  
Hitchcock  
Red Willow

**Neher**

Dawson  
Furnas  
Gosper

**Piccolo\***

Arthur  
Hooker  
Keith  
Lincoln  
Logan

McPherson  
Thomas


**Volkmer\***

Arthur  
Hooker  
Keith  
Lincoln  
Logan  
McPherson  
Perkins  
Thomas

District Court Judicial District 11

Total Workload Minutes

Sum (cases x weight)






# Weighted Caseload Report

## 12<sup>th</sup> Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 3.55  
 Current number of judges: 4  
 Workload per judge: 0.89

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 12th District

<span style="display:inline-block; width:15px; height:15px; background-color:black;"></span>	<b>Dobrovolny</b>
	Morrill
	Scotts Bluff
<span style="display:inline-block; width:15px; height:15px; background-color:black;"></span>	<b>Miller</b>
	Morrill
	Scotts Bluff
<span style="display:inline-block; width:15px; height:15px; background-color:gray;"></span>	<b>O’Gorman</b>
	Box Butte
	Dawes
	Grant
	Sheridan
	Sioux
<span style="display:inline-block; width:15px; height:15px; background-color:black;"></span>	<b>Weimer*</b>
	Banner
	Cheyenne
	Deuel
	Garden
	Kimball



# Weighted Caseload Report

## Court Case Type Categories and Weights – Appendix

District Court Case Types	2022 Case Weight (minutes)
Problem Solving Court Cases	683
Protection Orders	32
Civil	219
Class I Felony	367
Other Criminal	149
Domestic Relations	97
Appeals	343
Administrative Appeals	540
County Court Case Types	2022 Case Weight (minutes)
Protection Orders	32
Felony	26
Misdemeanor	23
District Court: Adult Problem-Solving Court	683
Traffic	1
Civil	8
Probate	61
Guardianship/Conservatorship	133
Small Claims	30
Adoption	92
Domestic Relations	97
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Juvenile: Delinquency	100
Juvenile: Status Offender 3B	37
Juvenile: Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Juvenile: Bridge to Independence (B21)	58
Juvenile: Interstate Compact Hearings/Filings	2
Juvenile: Problem-Solving Court Cases	654
Separate Juvenile Court Case Types	2022 Case Weight (minutes)
Adoption	49
Domestic Relations	26
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Delinquency	136
Status Offender 3B	54
Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Bridge to Independence B21	36
Interstate Compact Hearing/Filings	2
Problem Solving Court Cases	654



## **Research and Data**

**Operations Division**

**Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation**

**Chief Standing Bear Justice Administration Building**

**521 S. 14th Street Suite 300 | Lincoln, NE 68508**

**T 531.739.8100 | F 402.471.2197**