MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC HEARING OF THE NEBRASKA JUDICIAL RESOURCES COMMISSION **AUGUST 15, 2025**

Pursuant to the press release issued August 1, 2025, the public hearing of the Nebraska Judicial Resources Commission was held on the 15th day of August 2025, in the Nebraska Supreme Court Courtroom, State Capitol Building, in Lincoln, Nebraska.

AGENDA ITEM I: The Chair called the proceedings to order at The proceedings included approximately 10 a.m. Zoom videoconferencing option for Commission members. Roll Call by Ashley Jenkins.

PRESENT (* denotes Zoom attendance)

EXCUSED

Justice John R. Freudenberg

Judge Anne Paine*

Judge Matthew Kahler*

Jane Langan Mach

Kenneth Hartman

Ron Temple*

Meagan Spomer*

Jacqueline Tessendorf*

Robert Parker*

Darlene Starman

Nancy McCabe

Roxanne Kracl*

Cydnianne Hall*

Maria Whitmore*

Brian Phares*

Taylor Gage

Judge Travis O'Gorman

AGENDA ITEM II: The Chair confirmed that all Commission members had received and reviewed the minutes from the April 11, 2025, hearing. On a voice vote the commissioners unanimously accepted the minutes of April 11th.

AGENDA ITEM III: The following exhibits were received and considered by the Commission, and are attached to these minutes:

Exhibit 1: Meeting Agenda
Exhibit 2: Minutes of the April 11, 2025, hearing
Exhibit 3: Resignation letter from PaTricia A. Freeman dated
May 9, 2025

Exhibit 4: Retirement letter from Judge Laurie J. Yardley dated June 25, 2025

Exhibit 5: Retirement letter from Judge Leigh Ann Retelsdorf dated April 18, 2025

Exhibit 6: Resignation letter from Judge Michael L. Long (received May 23, 2025)

Exhibit 7: District Courts Weighted Caseload Report Fiscal Year 2025

Exhibit 8: County Courts Weighted Caseload Report Fiscal Year 2025

Exhibit 9: Letter from Judge Leanne M. Srb dated July 22, 2025, re: request to declare judicial vacancy - 4th District, District Court.

Exhibit 10: Letter from Hon. John M. Gerrard, NSBA President-Elect, dated August 7, 2025, re: NSBA Judicial Resources Committee recommendations.

Exhibit 11: Letter from Judge Timothy C. Phillips dated August 12, 2025, re: request to declare judicial vacancy - 3rd District, County Court.

Exhibit 12: Letter from Judge David J. Partsch dated August 12, 2025, re: request to declare judicial vacancy - 2nd District, County Court.

AGENDA ITEM IV: The public hearing was conducted, and the Commission received and considered public testimony from: Judge David J. Partsch, 2nd District, County Court; Judge Timothy C. Phillips, 3rd District, County Court; Judge Donna F. Taylor, 7th District, County Court; and Corey Steel, State Court Administrator.

(a): It was moved by Kenneth Hartman and seconded by Megan Spomer to declare a judicial vacancy in the County Court, 2nd Judicial District, due to the appointment of Judge PaTricia A. Freeman to the

Court of Appeals, with the primary location being Papillion, Sarpy County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

- (b): It was moved by Jane Langan Mach and seconded by Taylor Gage to declare a judicial vacancy in the County Court, 3rd Judicial District, due to the retirement of Judge Laurie J. Yardley, with the primary location being Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.
- (c) It was moved by Meagan Spomer and seconded by Taylor Gage to declare a judicial vacancy in the District Court, 4th Judicial District, due to the retirement of Judge Leigh Ann Retelsdorf, with the primary location being Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.
- (d) It was moved by Maria Whitmore and seconded by Ron Temple to declare a judicial vacancy in the County Court, 7th Judicial District, due to the appointment of Judge Michael L. Long to the District Court, with the primary location being Madison, Madison County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM V: The Chair noted that the next public hearing of the Judicial Resources Commission will be held on Friday, November 21, 2025, at 10:00am.

AGENDA ITEM VI: There being no other matters, the Judicial Resources Commission hearing was adjourned by the Chair.

Respectfully submitted:

Justice John R. Freudenberg

Menns

Chair

HEARING AGENDA JUDICIAL RESOURCES COMMISSION Friday, August 15, 2025 – 10 a.m. CST

Supreme Court Courtroom, State Capitol, Lincoln, Nebraska

- I. Call meeting to order; roll call of members.
- II. Approve minutes from JRC meeting held April 11, 2025.
- III. Identify/receive exhibits to be considered by commission during public hearing.
- IV. Conduct public hearing concerning the following:
 - a. Whether a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the County Court, 2nd Judicial District, due to the appointment of Judge PaTricia A. Freeman to the Court of Appeals, and if so, whether to recommend a primary office location.
 - b. Whether a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the County Court, 3rd Judicial District, due to the retirement of Judge Laurie J. Yardley, and if so, whether to recommend a primary office location.
 - c. Whether a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the District Court, 4th Judicial District, due to the retirement of Judge Leigh Ann Retelsdorf, and if so, whether to recommend a primary office location.
 - d. Whether a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the County Court, 7th Judicial District, due to the appointment of Judge Michael L. Long to the District Court, and if so, whether to recommend a primary office location.
- V. Adjourn

MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC HEARING OF THE NEBRASKA JUDICIAL RESOURCES COMMISSION April 11, 2025

Pursuant to the press release issued April 1, 2025, the public hearing of the Nebraska Judicial Resources Commission was held on the 11th day of April 2025, in the Nebraska Supreme Court Courtroom, State Capitol Building, in Lincoln, Nebraska.

AGENDA ITEM I: The Chair called the proceedings to order at approximately 10 a.m. The proceedings included a Zoom videoconferencing option for Commission members and for members of the public. Roll Call by Kara Nielsen.

PRESENT (* denotes Zoom attendance)

Justice Jonathan Papik

Judge Anne Paine*

Judge Travis O'Gorman*

Judge Matthew Kahler*

Taylor Gage

Cyd Hall*

Kenneth Hartman

Roxanne Kracl*

Jane Langan Mach

Nancy McCabe*

Meagan Spomer*

Darlene Starman*

Ron Temple*

Jacqueline Tessendorf*

Maria Whitmore*

EXCUSED

Robert Parker

Brian Phares

AGENDA ITEM II: The Chair confirmed that all Commission members had received and reviewed the minutes from the January 17, 2025, hearing. On a voice vote the commissioners unanimously accepted the minutes of January 17th.

AGENDA ITEM III: The following exhibits were received and considered by the Commission, and are attached to these minutes:

Exhibit 1: Meeting Agenda

Exhibit 2: Minutes of the January 17, 2025, hearing.

Exhibit 3: Press Release re: Appointment of Justice Jason M. Bergevin

Exhibit 4: Resignation letter of Judge Matthew Neher

Exhibit 5: Retirement letter of Judge Edward D. Steenburg

Exhibit 6: NSBA letter of Judge Tricia Freeman

Exhibit 7: 2024 County Court Weighted Caseload Report

Exhibit 8: 2024 District court Weighted Caseload Report

AGENDA ITEM IV: The public hearing was conducted, and the Commission received and considered public testimony from: Judge Rachel Daugherty, 5th District, District Court; Judge Michael Piccolo, 11th District, District Court; Liz Neeley, Executive Director of the Nebraska State Bar Association; and Corey Steel, State Court Administrator.

- (a): It was moved by Jane Langan Mach and seconded by Taylor Gage to declare a judicial vacancy in the District Court in the 5th Judicial District due to the appointment of Justice Jason Bergevin to the Nebraska Supreme Court, with the primary location being Columbus, Platte County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.
- (b): It was moved by Ken Hartman and seconded by Taylor Gage to declare a judicial vacancy in the District Court in the 11th Judicial District due to the resignation of Judge Matthew Neher, with the primary location being Lexington, Dawson County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.
- (c) It was moved by Ken Hartman and seconded by Jane Langan Mach to declare a judicial vacancy in the County Court in the 11th Judicial District due to the retirement of Judge Edward D. Steenburg, with the primary location being North Platte, Lincoln County, Nebraska. On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM V: The Chair noted that the next public hearing of the Judicial Resources Commission will be held on August 15, 2025.

AGENDA ITEM VI: There being no other matters, a motion to adjourn was made and approved unanimously by voice vote. The Commission adjourned.

Respectfully submitted:

Justice Jonathan J. Papik

Chair

Sarpy County Court

COUNTY JUDGES Tricia A. Freeman Todd J. Hutton S. Colin Palm David J. Partsch SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT Hall of Justice 1210 Golden Gate Drive, Suite 3142 Papillion, Nebraska 68046-2888 (402) 593-5775 Fax (402) 593-2193 Barbara A. Pousson Clerk Magistrate

May 9, 2025

RECEIVED

MAY 20 2025

Governor Jim Pillen Nebraska State Capitol P.O. Box 94848 Lincoln, NE 68509-4848 Office of the Chief Justice

Re: Resignation from the County Court in the 2nd Judicial District

Dear Governor Pillen,

I am writing to inform you of my resignation from the County Court for the 2nd Judicial District effective at the close of business on June 30, 2025. As you know, this resignation is due to my appointment to the Court of Appeals April 10, 2025, for which I will be officially sworn in on July 1, 2025.

It has been my honor to serve on the County Court bench in the 2nd Judicial District with Judges Hutton, Palm and Partsch. They are hard-working, compassionate, and empathetic judges who are steadfast in their efforts to provide a forum for lawyers and litigants to have their matters heard in a fair, effective and efficient way. I know whoever fills the vacancy left by my departure will be welcomed and assisted by the fine judges of the 2nd Judicial District County Court.

Please advise if you need any additional information. I look forward to assuming the duties in my new position as a Judge of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

Sincerely,

Tricia A. Freeman County Court Judge

cc: Chief Justice Jeff Funke

LANCASTER COUNTY COURT THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

575 South 10th Street Lincoln, Nebraska 68508 Laurie J. Yardley Judge **RECEIVED**

JUN 26 2025

Office of the Chief Justice

June 25, 2025

Governor Jim Pillen 1445 K Street Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Governor Pillen,

This letter serves as formal notification that I am retiring from my position as Lancaster County Judge for the Third District effective August 1, 2025. It has been an honor and privilege to serve the citizens of Lancaster County as a Judge for the past thirty years. I am deeply grateful for the opportunity to have contributed to the administration of justice in the community.

Sincerely,

Laurie J. Yardley



RAENITA DOBERNECKER BAILIFF

The **Aistrict Court of Aebraska**

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUDGE LEIGH ANN RETELSDORF HALL OF JUSTICE OMAHA, NEBRASKA 88183-0410 432-444-7614 FAX: 402-946-8157

WHITNEY SKUTT COURTROOM CLERK

April 18, 2025

Honorable Jeffrey J. Funke Chief Justice Nebraska Supreme Court Room 2214, State Capitol Lincoln, NE 68509

Chief Justice Funke,

This letter is to notify you that I will officially retire from the Douglas County District Court bench on June 13, 2025.

It has been an honor to serve the citizens of Douglas County over the last sixteen years in this capacity.

Respectfully submitted,

Leigh Ann Retelsdorf District Court Judge

LAR/rfd

cc: Corey Steel, State Court Administrator
Hon. Jeffrey Lux, Douglas County Presiding Judge
Sheri Larsen, Douglas County District Court Administrator

MADISON COUNTY COURT

Leah Buhrman CLERK MAGISTRATE Seventh Judicial District
P.O. Box 230 · Madison, Nebraska 68748
Phone (402) 454-3311 Ext. 73
Fax (402) 454-3438

DONNA FARRELL TAYLOR COUNTY JUDGE

> MICHAEL L LONG COUNTY JUDGE

> ROSS A. STOFFER COUNTY JUDGE

The Honorable James D. Pillen Governor, State of Nebraska Office of the Governor P.O. Box 94848 Lincoln, NE 68509-4848

RECEIVED

MAY 23 2025

Office of the Chief Justice

Dear Governor Pillen:

I am sending this letter to notify you of my intent to resign my position of County Judge of the Seventh Judicial District effective at the end of the business day on Wednesday, May 28, 2025 at 5:00 p.m. to assume the duties of District Court Judge of the Seventh Judicial District upon my swearing in on Thursday, May 29, 2025.

Respectfully yours,

Michael L. Long

Murael X

County Court Judge - 7th Judicial District

P.O. Box 230

Madison, NE 68748

cc:

Corey R. Steel

Chief Justice Jeffrey J. Funke

District Courts

Weighted Caseload Report



Table of Contents

Introduction	1
State Map – Nebraska District Court Judicial Need	2
1 st Judicial District	3
2 nd Judicial District	4
3 rd Judicial District	5
4 th Judicial District	6
5 th Judicial District	7
6 th Judicial District	8
7 th Judicial District	9
8 th Judicial District	10
9 th Judicial District	11
10 th Judicial District	
11 th Judicial District	13
12 th Judicial District	
Court Case Type Categories and Weights - Annendix	

Weighted Caseload Report Nebraska District Courts Weighted Caseload Report

Nebraska has a district court in each of its 93 counties, organized into 12 Judicial Districts. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-301.02, the Legislature determines the number of district court judges who serve in each judicial district, and the geographic boundaries of each judicial district. An objective assessment of judicial workload allows informed decisions about district boundaries and the number of judges needed to timely resolve the cases in each judicial district.

To assist in evaluating judicial workloads, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1007(1) requires the Nebraska Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOCP) to compile judicial workload statistics based on caseloads weighted by category of case. These weighted caseload statistics are used by the Judicial Branch, the Judicial Resources Commission, and the Legislature to evaluate judicial need, and guide decisions and recommendations on how best to allocate judicial resources across the state.

To ensure the validity, uniformity and accuracy of the AOCP's judicial workload statistics, a statewide judicial time study was conducted in 2019-2020 under the direction and leadership of the National Center for State Courts. For a full description of the judicial time study and the recommended weighting methodology and standards, see *Nebraska Judicial Workload Assessment Final Report* (October 2020) (this is noted on tables in this report with an asterisk) on the Nebraska Supreme Court Website. Because this Weighted Caseload Report uses the methodology and standards from the 2020 workload assessment, direct comparison to archived reports is not recommended.

Weighted caseload statistics approximate the number of judges a district needs to handle the current caseload based upon the calculations of a three-year rolling average of case filing data. Therefore, when weighted caseload statistics are examined in conjunction with other compelling and critical metrics, they provide a vital part of an objective and standardized assessment of judicial needs and the fair allocation of judicial resources across juvenile courts in the State of Nebraska.



Corey R. Steel

Nebraska State Court Administrator

Nebraska Supreme Court

Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation


Rm. 1211 State Capitol | P.O. Box 98910 | Lincoln, NE 68509

T 402.471.3730 | F 402.471.2197

www.supremecourt.nebraska.gov

Nebraska District Court Judicial Needs

Fiscal Year 2025 (July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025)




Note: Differences between the total District Court Need for Judges and the sum of individual counties is due to rounding to the nearest one-hundredth.


Weighted Caseload Report 1st Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 3.11 Current number of judges: 3 Workload per judge: 1.04

Predicted judicial resources need by county










Weighted Caseload Report 2nd Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 4.81 Current number of judges: 4 Workload per judge: 1.20

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 2nd District


Cox Martinez M. Smith Thompson



Weighted Caseload Report 3rd Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 8.91 Current number of judges: 8 Workload per judge: 1.11

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 3rd District


Ideus Jacobsen Maret McManaman Mellor Nelson Post Strong




Weighted Caseload Report 4th Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 19.16 Current number of judges: 18 Workload per judge: 1.06

Predicted judicial resources need by county






Judges Serving the 4th District


Alioth Bataillon Benson T. Burns Derr **Dougherty Engleman** Keane Lindberg (Bowie) Lux Masteller Miller Pankonin **Polk** Vacant (Retelsdorf) Srb **Stratman** Vaughn Wheelock

5th Judicial District - District Court

District court need for judges: 3.67 Current number of judges: 4 Workload per judge: 0.92

Predicted judicial resources need by county







6th Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 2.39 Current number of judges: 3 Workload per judge: 0.80

Predicted judicial resources need by county




Judges Serving the 6th District
Hall
Meismer
Blackman




7th Judicial District – District Court

District court need for judges: 1.98 Current number of judges: 2 Workload per judge: 0.99

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 7th District Long (Johnson) Kube




8th Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 1.19 Current number of judges: 2 Workload per judge: 0.60

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Judges Serving the 8th District

Kozisek Noakes






Weighted Caseload Report 9th Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 3.60 Current number of judges: 4 Workload per judge: 0.90

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 9th District

Butler Lee Marsh Ramsey (Carson)




Weighted Caseload Report 10th Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 1.98 Current number of judges: 2 Workload per judge: 0.99

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Judges Serving the 10th District

Farquhar Hoeft






Weighted Caseload Report 11th Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 3.69 Current number of judges: 4 Workload per judge: 0.92

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 11th District


Heng Vacant (Neher) Piccolo Volkmer



Weighted Caseload Report 12th Judicial District – District Court


District court need for judges: 3.48 Current number of judges: 4 Workload per judge: 0.87

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 12th District

Dobrovolny Miller O'Gorman Weimer



Court Case Type Categories and Weights – Appendix

District Court Case Types	Case Weight* (minutes)
Problem Solving Court Cases	683
Protection Orders	32
Civil	219
Class I Felony	367
Other Criminal	149
Domestic Relations	97
Appeals	343
Administrative Appeals	540
County Court Case Types	Case Weight* (minutes)
Protection Orders	32
Felony	26
Misdemeanor	23
District Court: Adult Problem-Solving Court	683
Traffic	1
Civil	8
Probate	61
Guardianship/Conservatorship	133
Small Claims	30
Adoption	92
Domestic Relations	97
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Juvenile: Delinquency	100
Juvenile: Status Offender 3B	37
Juvenile: Mentally III and Dangerous 3C	265
Juvenile: Bridge to Independence (B21)	58
Juvenile: Interstate Compact Hearings/Filings	2
Juvenile: Problem-Solving Court Cases	654
Separate Juvenile Court Case Types	Case Weight* (minutes)
Adoption	49
Domestic Relations	26
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Delinquency	136
Status Offender 3B	54
Mentally III and Dangerous 3C	265
Bridge to Independence B21	36
Interstate Compact Hearing/Filings	2
	654



Research and Data

Operations Division
Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation
Chief Standing Bear Justice Administration Building
521 S. 14th Street Suite 300 | Lincoln, NE 68508
T 531.739.8100 | F 402.471.2197

County Courts

Weighted Caseload Report



Table of Contents

Introduction	
State Map – Nebraska County Court Judicial Need	
1 st Judicial District	
2 nd Judicial District	
3 rd Judicial District	
4 th Judicial District	
5 th Judicial District	
6 th Judicial District	
7 th Judicial District	9
8 th Judicial District	10
9 th Judicial District	11
10 th Judicial District	12
11 th Judicial District	13
12 th Judicial District	14
Court Case Type Categories and Weights - Appendix	10

Weighted Caseload Report Nebraska County Courts Weighted Caseload Report

Nebraska has a district court in each of its 93 counties, organized into 12 Judicial Districts. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-301.02, the Legislature determines the number of district court judges who serve in each judicial district, and the geographic boundaries of each judicial district. An objective assessment of judicial workload allows informed decisions about district boundaries and the number of judges needed to timely resolve the cases in each judicial district.

To assist in evaluating judicial workloads, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1007(1) requires the Nebraska Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOCP) to compile judicial workload statistics based on caseloads weighted by category of case. These weighted caseload statistics are used by the Judicial Branch, the Judicial Resources Commission, and the Legislature to evaluate judicial need, and guide decisions and recommendations on how best to allocate judicial resources across the state.

To ensure the validity, uniformity and accuracy of the AOCP's judicial workload statistics, a statewide judicial time study was conducted in 2019-2020 under the direction and leadership of the National Center for State Courts. For a full description of the judicial time study and the recommended weighting methodology and standards, see Nebraska Judicial Workload Assessment Final Report (October 2020) (this is noted on tables in this report with an asterisk) on the Nebraska Supreme Court Website. Because this Weighted Caseload Report uses the methodology and standards from the 2020 workload assessment, direct comparison to archived reports is not recommended.


Weighted caseload statistics approximate the number of judges a district needs to handle the current caseload based upon the calculations of a three-year rolling average of case filing data. Therefore, when weighted caseload statistics are examined in conjunction with other compelling and critical metrics, they provide a vital part of an objective and standardized assessment of judicial needs and the fair allocation of judicial resources across juvenile courts in the State of Nebraska.



Corey R. Steel **Nebraska State Court Administrator Nebraska Supreme Court** Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation Rm. 1211 State Capitol | P.O. Box 98910 | Lincoln, NE 68509 T 402.471.3730 | F 402.471.2197 www.supremecourt.nebraska.gov

Nebraska County Court Judicial Needs

Fiscal Year 2025 (July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025)



Note: Differences between the total District Court Need for Judges and the sum of individual counties is due to rounding to the nearest one-hundredth.

July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025 32 of 59 4 of 18


Weighted Caseload Report 1st Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.48
Current number of judges: 3
Workload per judge: 0.83

NOTE: An additional **0.01** is given due to Judge R. Smith being assigned to Problem-Solving Court cases in Fillmore County (District Court) and Otoe County (District Court).

Predicted judicial resources need by county


Judges Serving the 1st District L. Bauer Gaertig R. Smith Saline 0.46 Johnson Nemaha 0.18 0.20 Gage Thayer 0.73 Jefferson 0.18 **Pawnee** 0.23 Richardson 0.09 0.40



Weighted Caseload Report 2nd Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 4.11
Current number of judges: 4
Workload per judge: 1.03

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 2nd District

Freeman Hutton Palm Partsch




Weighted Caseload Report 3rd Judicial District –County Court

County court need for judges: 6.44
Current number of judges: 7
Workload per judge: 0.92

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Lancaster 6.44 Judges Serving the 3rd District


Acton
Dalton
Parsley
Phillips
Reuter
Yardley
Zimmerman



Weighted Caseload Report 4th Judicial District –County Court


County court need for judges: 11.11
Current number of judges: 12
Workload per judge: 0.93

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 4th District


Finley
Forsberg
Hansen
Harmon
Hendrix
Huber
Keim
Kleine (Marcuzzo)
Lohaus
McDermott
Mosby (Lowe)
Shearer



Weighted Caseload Report 5th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 4.57
Current number of judges: 5
Workload per judge: 0.91

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 5th District


Homolka

Kracl

Lange

Petersen


Twiss



Weighted Caseload Report 6th Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 3.67
Current number of judges: 4
Workload per judge: 0.92

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 6th District


Barron S. Bauer Klein Matney




Weighted Caseload Report 7th Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 2.59
Current number of judges: 3
Workload per judge: 0.86

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 7th District
Vacant (Long)
Stoffer
Taylor



Weighted Caseload Report 8th Judicial District – County Court

County court need for judges: 1.83
Current number of judges: 3
Workload per judge: 0.61

Predicted judicial resources need by county




Judges Serving the 8th District

Burdick

Orr


Schendt



9th Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 4.07
Current number of judges: 5
Workload per judge: 0.81

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 9th District

Corey Jorgensen McQuay Rademacher Wetzel



10th Judicial District – County Court


County court need for judges: 2.71
Current number of judges: 3
Workload per judge: 0.90

Predicted judicial resources need by county


Phelps	Kearney	Adams	Clay	Fillmore
0.37	0.19	1.38	0.17	0.16
Harlan 0.14	Franklin 0.09	Webster 0.10	Nuckolls 0.11	


Judges Serving the 10th District

Burns Mead Nelsen-Pacey (Hoeft)



11th Judicial District - County Court






Page 13


July 1, 2024 –June 30, 2025 43 of 59 15 of 18

12th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 4.10
Current number of judges: 5
Workload per judge: 0.82

Predicted judicial resources need by county





Court Case Type Categories and Weights – Appendix

District Court Case Types	Case Weight* (minutes)
Problem Solving Court Cases	683
Protection Orders	32
Civil	219
Class I Felony	367
Other Criminal	149
Domestic Relations	97
Appeals	343
Administrative Appeals	540
County Court Case Types	Case Weight* (minutes)
Protection Orders	32
Felony	26
Misdemeanor	23
District Court: Adult Problem-Solving Court	683
Traffic	1
Civil	8
Probate	61
Guardianship/Conservatorship	133
Small Claims	30
Adoption	92
Domestic Relations	97
uvenile: 3A Children	487
uvenile: Delinquency	100
uvenile: Status Offender 3B	37
uvenile: Mentally III and Dangerous 3C	265
uvenile: Bridge to Independence (B21)	58
luvenile: Interstate Compact Hearings/Filings	2
luvenile: Problem-Solving Court Cases	654
Separate Juvenile Court Case Types	Case Weight* (minutes)
Adoption	49
Domestic Relations	26
uvenile: 3A Children	487
Delinquency	136
Status Offender 3B	54
Mentally III and Dangerous 3C	265
Bridge to Independence B21	36
nterstate Compact Hearing/Filings	2
Problem Solving Court Cases	654



Research and Data

Operations Division
Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation
Chief Standing Bear Justice Administration Building
521 S. 14th Street Suite 300 | Lincoln, NE 68508
T 531.739.8100 | F 402.471.2197
46 of 59



JUDGE LEANNE M. SRB

HALL OF JUSTICE OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68183-0410 402-444-6936 FAX 402-996-8010 AMANDA VODVARKA BAILIFF

MARY MCKEEVER RPR, CRR STENOGRAPHER

July 22, 2025
Judicial Resources Commission
c/o Kara Nielsen
State Capital Building
P.O. Box 98910
Lincoln, NE 68509
Kara.nielsen@nejudicial.gov

RE: Request to Declare Judicial Vacancy

Dear Justice Jonathan J. Papik and Members of the Commission:

Please accept this letter as written testimony in support of the request of the Judges of the Fourth Judicial district Court that the Judicial Resources Commission determine the existence of a judicial vacancy in the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District. The vacancy is due to the retirement of Judge. Leigh Ann Retelsdorf effective June 2025.

When a Judge retires, other District Judges manage the retired Judge's cases, in addition to their own cases, until the Judicial Resources Commission declares a vacancy and a Judge is appointed.

Additionally, the 2024 Nebraska Judicial Branch Weighted Caseload Report concluded this District Court has a need for **18.96 judges.** The 2024 Annual Fiscal Year Caseload Report for the Fourth Judicial District Court reflects the existence of over 12,300 cases filed for the 2024 fiscal year. These numbers reflect the trend this judicial district is experiencing in attaining pre-COVID level case filings. By category for fiscal year, 2024 are as follows:

TOTAL CASES:	12,304
Administrative Appeals:	14
Appellate Action:	61
Civil:	2,094
Protection Orders:	2,344
Domestic Relations:	3,760
Problem Solving Court:	185
Criminal Other:	3,355
Criminal Felony Class 1:	491

EXHIBIT 09

Accordingly, the Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court respectfully request that the Judicial Resources Commission declare that a judicial vacancy exists in the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District due to the retirement of Judge Leigh Ann Retelsdorf.

Please accept this letter as submitted testimony from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District in support of declaring a vacancy.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Very Sincerely Yours,

LeAnne M. Srb Presiding Judge

Fourth Judicial District

CC: Judge Peter C. Bataillon

Judge J. Russell Derr

Judge Marlon A. Polk

Judge Timothy P. Burns

Judge Duane C. Dougherty

Judge Kimberly Miller Pankonin

Judge Shelly R. Stratman

Judge Horacio J. Wheelock

Judge James M. Mastellar

Judge Tressa M. Alioth

Judge T. Olon Engleman

Judge Jeffrey J. Lux

Judge Mollly B. Keane

Judge Katie L. Benson

Judge Derek R. Vaughn

Judge Ryan M. Lindberg

Sheri Larsen, Douglas County District Court Administrator

August 7, 2025

Hon. John R. Freudenberg Nebraska Supreme Court State Capitol, #2210 Lincoln, NE 68509

Dear Justice Freudenberg:

On behalf of the NSBA Judicial Resources Committee ("the Committee"), I wish to convey to the members of the Judicial Resources Commission our recommendation regarding the vacancies in the County Court in the 2nd District due to the appointment of Judge Freeman to the Nebraska Court of Appeals, the County Court in the 3rd Judicial District due to the retirement of Judge Yardley, the vacancy in the District Court due to the retirement of Judge Rettlesdorf, and the vacancy in the County Court in the 7th Judicial District due to the appointment of Judge Long to the District Court.

After reviewing the Judicial Weighted Caseload Reports ("Judicial Workload Assessment") for FY 2025, the Committee concluded that the State's justice system will not have adequate judicial resources available unless the current vacancies are filled expeditiously. Therefore, the Committee recommends filling:

- 1) The vacancy in the 2nd Judicial District County Court created by the appointment of Judge Freeman to the Nebraska Court of Appeals with the primary office location in Sarpy County;
- 2) The vacancy in the 3rd Judicial District, County Court due to the retirement of Judge Yardley with the primary office location in Lancaster County;
- 3) The vacancy in the 4th Judicial District, District Court created by the retirement of Judge Rettlesdorf with the primary office location in Douglas County; and
- 4) The vacancy in the 7th Judicial District, County Court created by the appointment of Judge Long to the District Court with the primary office location in Madison County.

Thank you for your consideration of the recommendations set forth herein. Please include this letter with the materials provided to Judicial Resources Commission members before your August 15th meeting.

Sincerely,

Hon. John M. Gerrard NSBA President-Elect

John M. Tenard

Cc: Corey Steel Liz Neeley August 12, 2025

The Honorable John Freudenberg, Chairperson Judicial Resources Commission P.O. Box 98901 State Capital Building Lincoln, NE 68509-8910

Re: Judicial Resources Commission Hearing, August 15, 2025

Dear Justice Freudenberg:

The Lancaster County Court judges request the Judicial Resource Commission find that a judicial vacancy exists in the office of the County Court of the 3rd Judicial District, as a result of the retirement of the Honorable Laurie J. Yardley. We further request that the Judicial Resources Commission make a recommendation that the position remain in the 3rd Judicial District.

Please find twenty (20) copies of a memorandum to be distributed to the members of the Judicial Resources Commission prior to the upcoming hearing. Thank you for your assistance.

The state of

I amount of Phillips

Lancaster County Court Presiding Judge

Enclosures:

Cc: Judges Matthew Acton, Holly Parsley, Thomas Zimmerman, Rodney Reuter and Joseph Dalton

To: Nebraska Judicial Resources Commission

% The Honorable John Freudenberg, Chairperson

From: Timothy C. Phillips, Lancaster County Court Presiding Judge

Date: August 11, 2025 (Amended Memorandum)

Re: Nebraska Judicial Resources Commission Hearing – August 15, 2025

History of the Court

From 1985 through 2011, the Lancaster County Court operated with six judges even though the caseload grew from 44,000 cases in 1985 to 63,905 cases in 2011. In 2011, the Weighted Caseload Report indicated that Lancaster County should have 7.93 judges to handle its caseload. Accordingly, in 2011 the Commission made a recommendation (and in 2012 legislation was passed) to transfer a county judicial position from the Fifth Judicial District to the Third, giving the Lancaster County Court seven judges. Since that time, the weighted caseload studies continue to show that Lancaster County needs at least seven judges. Nine of the past 11 years Lancaster County's Judicial Need has been anywhere between 6.16 to 7.84 judges. COVID recovery years of 2022 and 2023 are the two outlier years during the last 11 years.

Current Caseload Statistics

The Fiscal Year 2024 County Court Annual Caseload Report shows that Lancaster County had 46,363 cases filed in the 2024 Fiscal Year. That figure includes 440 District Court domestic and/or protection order cases also handled by the Lancaster County Court (See attached Exhibit A). The most recent Weighted Caseload Report (FY 2025 July 1, 2024 - June 30, 2025) shows that Lancaster County Court had 46,355 cases filed with that number including 414 domestic and/or protection order cases also handled by the Lancaster County Court (See attached Exhibit A). The latest weighted caseload report indicates that Lancaster County needs 6.44 judges and has 7 judges currently assigned. (See attached Exhibit B). The latest Caseload Report shows that the number of filings is steadily increasing as we become further removed from the COVID pandemic. We anticipate that the number of filings will continue to increase as we move further away from the 2022 and 2023 fiscal years. The number of cases filed in the Lancaster County Court through July of 2025 would indicate that we are on track to have about 1400 more cases filed by this calendar year's end than were filed in the last calendar year. (See attached Exhibit C).

Other Data to Consider


The County Judges in Lancaster County reviewed and signed 762 search warrants and the supporting affidavits during the 2024 calendar year. This number is also continuing to rise since 2021 when 663 search warrants were reviewed and signed by Lancaster County Court Judges. The 2025 calendar year, as of August 7, 2025, has seen 502 search warrants and the supporting affidavits reviewed and signed by Lancaster County Judges. This would put the County Court on pace to sign and review approximately 850 search warrants and supporting affidavits by 2025 year's end.

Request for Recommendation

We request that the open position in the 3rd District because of the retirement of the Honorable Laurie J. Yardley be filled, and that the position again be assigned to Lancaster County. The statistics cited above show that Lancaster County still has the need for seven (7) judges and that the Court should be allowed to maintain the status quo. I welcome the opportunity to answer any questions and discuss this matter in further detail at the Commission hearing. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Annual Fiscal Year Caseload Report

3rd Judicial District – County Court Adult Cases Filed



Historical	Cases Filed						
Year # Cases							
FY 2021	40,329						
FY 2022	35,050						
FY 2023	38,450						
FY 2024	46,363						
FY 2025	46,355						

Fiscal Year 2025 Adult Court Cases Filed by Category and County

8	Protection Orders	Felony	Felony 4	Misd.	Adult PSC	Traffic	Civil	Probate	Guardianship / Conservatorship	Small Claims	Adoption	Domestic Relations	Total Cases
Lancaster	414	2,225	0	11,928	0	14,643	15,500	816	355	406	68 :	0	46,355



Weighted Caseload Report 3rd Judicial District –County Court

County court need for judges:

6.44


Current number of judges:

7

Workload per judge:


0.92

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Judges Serving the 3rd District

Acton Dalton Parsley Phillips Reuter Yardley Zimmerman





2025 Cases Filed Lancaster County Court

	misd/ord	misd/ord				Probate/	Guard				Protection	
	traffic	nontraffic	Felony	Civil	Small Claims	Inher Tax	Cons	Adoption	Paternity	TOTALS	Orders	
JAN	1126	1008	166	1260	30	67	24	8	0	3689	29	
FEB	1257	886	133	1229	29	63	26	10	0	3.633	19	
MAR	1201	899	196	1219	33	74	29	10	0	3661	23	
APR	1155	921	203	1566	33	78	23	18	0	3997	39	
MAY	1349	1188	180	1091	35	86	21	11	0	3961	32	
JUN	1282	1173	209	1518	33	71	28	16	0	4330	36	
JUL	1416	1171	247	1307	42	64	26	11		4284	45	
AUG										0		
SEP										0		
OCT										0		
NOV										0		
DEC		*								0		
TOTAL	8786	7246	1334	9190	235	503	177	84	0	27555	223	27778
20											223	27778
2024 Totals	14211	11639	2417	15730	387	835	407	149	1	45776	440	46216
	20.470/	27.740/	44.046/	44 500/	20.222/	20 7621	EC E451	40.000		00.00-1		
	-38.17%	-37.74%	-44.81%	-41.58%	-39.28%	-39.76%	-56.51%	-43.62%		-39.80%	-49.32%	-39.90%



Lancaster County Court Cases Filed Report For the Month of July , 2025 Page: 1

Date: 8/ 9/2025

Time: 21:23:55

	Prior	New	Reopened	Disposed	Current	Appealed
Cases Filed	Pending	Filings	Cases	Cases	Pending	Cases
Criminal						
Felony	1295	247	6	230	1318	0
Misdemeanor	5742	1128	53	1100	5823	4
Game & Parks	25	37	1	44	19	0
Extradition	1	6	0	5	2	0
Total Criminal	7063	1418	60	1379	7162	4
Traffic						
Statute	1211	416	0	493	1134	0
Ordinance	1420	980	2	978	1424	0
Overweight	14	19	0	26	7	0
Parking	2	1	0	2	1	0
T		1416		1400	2566	0
Total Traffic	2647	1416	2	1499	2566	U
Civil	100		0	1.0	0.7	0
Tort	100	9	0	12	97	0
Contract	4001	1050	0	1052	3999	0
Real Property	449	232	0	161	520	0
Miscellaneous	115	16	0	23	108	0
Small Claims	125	42	0	25	142	0
Condemnation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Civil	4790	1349	0	1273	4866	0
Domestic Relations						
Paternity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adoption	24	11	0	14	21	0
Total Domestic Relations	24	11	0	14	21	0
Juvenile						
Misdemeanor/Infraction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Felony	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neglected/Dependent	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status Offender	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Juvenile	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probate	0	Ü	0	Ü	v	ū
Estate	699	40	2	41	700	0
Inheritance Tax	19	24	0	20	23	0
Guardianship/Conservatorship	140	26	0	26	140	0
Miscellaneous	0	0	0	0	0	0
mrscel raneous			·			
Total Probate	858	90	2	87	863	0
		~~~~				

### STATE OF NEBRASKA SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNTY COURTS

(Sarpy, Cass & Otoe Counties)

**JUDGES** 

Hon. Todd Hutton Hon. David J. Partsch Hon. S. Colin Palm Vacant **COURT CLERKS** 

Barb Pouson (Sarpy) Konia Fries (Cass) Dana Ritchheart (Otoe)

August 12, 2025

RE: SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (COUNTY COURTS)

Dear Justice Jonathan J. Papik and Members of the Commission:

On behalf of the county court judges of the Second Judicial District, thank you for the work you perform in the critical task of declaring vacancies when judicial positions need to be filled. We are asking you to declare a judicial vacancy in the county courts of our district caused by the elevation of Judge PaTricia Freeman to the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

The county courts of the Second Judicial District cover matters in Sarpy, Cass, and Otoe Counties. We have been operating with a total of four (4) judges – three (3) in Sarpy County (Hutton, Freeman, and Palm) and one (1) traveling between Cass and Otoe Counties (Partsch). Judge Freeman's promotion leaves Sarpy County currently operating with two (2) county court judges, and we have been fortunate to have retired judges covering her courtroom daily since she left. They are staying busy, and Judges Hutton and Palm have taken on extra duties to ensure continuity of court operations.

The FY 2025 Weighted Caseload Report was published to the Supreme Court website on August 6, 2025. Looking at the numbers, the Second Judicial District's caseload reflects a judicial need of 4.11 judges. With four (4) judges each has an average workload of 1.03 per judge. As comparison, no other county

¹¹ https://supremecourt.nebraska.gov/sites/default/files/publication-report-files/FY2025-County-Court-Weighted-Caseload-Report.pdf (accessed 8/6/2025)

court judicial district in the state has an average workload of higher than 0.96 per judge. The workload of four county court judges in our district is also fairly evenly distributed, as Sarpy County's judicial need is 3.01 and Cass/Otoe's combined judicial need is 1.10. Shuffling caseloads would not alleviate the need for a fourth county court judge in our district.

Another comparison for these FY 2025 numbers is looking back at prior years to see the trends. The FY 2024 Weighted Caseload Report for the Second Judicial District reflected a judicial need of 3.75 judges (2.7 in Sarpy; 1.05 in Cass/Otoe), with an average workload per judge of 0.94. This was up from the FY 2023 numbers which reflected a judicial need of 3.30 judges (2.42 in Sarpy; 0.88 in Cass/Otoe), with an average workload per judge of 0.83. In other words, in just 3 years the average workload per judge in the Second Judicial District has increased by 32.5% (1.10/0.83). Should this trend continue, a fifth judge may be needed in the district within the foreseeable future.

In further support of the current need to fill Judge Freeman's position, each of the second district's three counties continue to grow in population, with Sarpy County growing by far the fastest. In 2023-24 Sarpy County grew at a rate of 2.2%, Otoe at 0.9%, and Cass at 0.1%. According to numbers compiled by Sarpy County Court Clerk Magistrate Barb Pouson, as of the end of July, the 2025 calendar year new case filings in Sarpy County are 9.4% higher than the filings received by this same time last year. We expect the caseload in the district will only continue to rise.

We also know you are keenly aware of additional factors to the caseload numbers that affect the actual hours judges work on their cases, including: (1) the significant increase in self-represented litigants (and related use of artificial intelligence); (2) the increase in need for language interpretation; (3) the increase in problem solving courts; (4) technology benefits and challenges; and (5) challenges with court staffing and training.

Based upon the current caseload of the county courts of the Second Judicial District, and in consideration of the continued increases in population and new

2 | Page

² U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates, Vintage 2024, Annual Estimates of the Resident Population for Counties in Nebraska. The State's overall population grew by 0.9%; however, 43 counties lost population.

case filings, we respectfully request that members of the Judicial Resources Commission declare a judicial vacancy in the Second Judicial District county courts with a primary office in Papillion, Nebraska. If you have any questions, comments, concerns or need any additional information, we will have at least one of our judges present at the hearing.

Sincerely Yours,

Presiding Judge of the Second Judicial District