A-25-000735
Dush v. Dush
ROD Submission to Court
Affirmed. Riedmann, Chief Judge. (P)

A-25-000807
State obo Azaylia S. v. Lori R.
ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Freeman Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000932
State obo Azaylia S. v. Lori R.
ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Freeman Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000871
Rhoades v. Rhoades
ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Bishop, Judge. See Memorandum Opinion.

A-25-000912
In re Interest of Selena P. et al.
ROD Submission to Court
Affirmed. Piccolo. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000984
Anderson v. Anderson
ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Reversed and remanded for further proceedings. Freeman, Judge.
See Memorandum Opinion.

A-25-000986
Anderson v. Anderson
ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Reversed and remanded for further proceedings. Freeman, Judge.
See Memorandum Opinion.

A-25-000994
Npimnee v. Jeffreys
ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Riedmann, Chief Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-26-000077
State v. Aaron D Abney
ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Riedmann, Chief Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-26-000146
State v. Jamison Robinson
ROD Submission to Ct. re: Plea
Affirmed. Freeman, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-26-000549
State obo Mirandi W. v. Ragle and Kendra W.
Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date
Motion sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellee's brief date extended to January 4, 2027.

A-26-000806
State v. Gatdet G Chuol
Motion of Appe to Consolidate Cases
By Order of the Court, cases 26-0806 and 26-0807 consolidated for briefing and disposition.

A-26-000807
State v. Gatdet G Chuol
Motion of Appe to Consolidate Cases
By Order of the Court, cases 26-0806 and 26-0807 consolidated for briefing and disposition.

A-25-000918
Npimnee v. Jeffreys
ROD Final Disposition of the Court
Affirmed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(2). Claim against Jeffreys in his official capacity barred by doctrine of sovereign immunity. See Davis v. State, 297 Neb. 955, 902 N.W.2d 165 (2017). Claim against Jeffreys in his personal capacity barred by doctrine of qualified immunity. Id. State officials entitled to qualified immunity unless shown (1) official violated a federally guaranteed right and (2) the constitutional or statutory right was clearly established at the time of the challenged conduct). Id. (clearly established law must be "particularized" to the facts of the case otherwise plaintiffs would be able to convert rule of qualified immunity into rule of virtually unqualified liability simply by alleging violation of extremely abstract rights). See also Npimnee v. Jeffreys, A-25-0994 (Neb. App. 2026).

A-25-000918
Npimnee v. Jeffreys
Mot Appt Appear via Video Conferencing
Motion of Appellant to appear via video-conferencing overruled as moot.

A-25-000933
Smidt v. Smidt
Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission
By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed from the December Review Docket of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

A-25-000962
Remington v Remington
Waiver Oral Argument/Appear Video - Appe
Motion of Appellee to waive oral argument sustained.

A-25-000962
Remington v Remington
Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission
By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed from the December Review Docket of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

A-26-000331
State v. Farog M Farog
Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission
By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed from the December Review Docket of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

A-26-000534
State v. Milton J Castanon Jr
Motion Appt Expand Word Count for Reply
Motion sustained; Appellant's brief word limit expanded to 18,000

words to include Appellant's brief in chief and reply brief combined.

A-26-000571
State v. Kristopher C Snyder
ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance is sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The sentence imposed was not an abuse of discretion. See State v. Rejai, 320 Neb. 599, 29 N.W.3d 225 (2026) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on disturbed on appeal in absence of abuse of discretion by trial court); State v. Hagens, 320 Neb. 65, 26 N.W.3d 174 (2025) (it is not proper function of appellate court to conduct de novo review of record to determine what sentence it would impose).

A-26-000573
State v. Jayden M Blake
ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance is granted. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The sentence imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Jones, 318 Neb. 840, 19 N.W.3d 499 (2025) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence imposed within statutory limits). Appellant's assignment of error relating to ineffective assistance of counsel was insufficiently stated. See State v. Mrza, 302 Neb. 931, 926 N.W.2d 79 (2019) (when raising ineffective assistance claim on direct appeal, appellant must make specific allegations of conduct that he or she claims constitutes deficient performance by trial counsel), disapproved on other grounds, State v. Hagens, 320 Neb. 65, 26 N.W.2d 174 (2025).

A-26-000595
State v. Lori Decker
ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance is sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The sentence imposed was not an abuse of discretion. See State v. Rejai, 320 Neb. 599, 29 N.W.3d 225 (2026) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal in absence of abuse of discretion by trial court); State v. Hagens, 320 Neb. 65, 26 N.W.3d 174 (2025) (it is not proper function of appellate court to conduct de novo review of record to determine what sentence it would impose).

A-26-000614
Malousek v. Blair
Mot Appt Stay Enforcement/Relief of Harm
Appellant's renewed emergency motion for stay pending appeal and for intermediate relief based on new material events and imminent irreparable harm is denied.

A-26-000662
Jacob v. Global Tel*Link Corp.
ROD Petition of Appellant for Further Review
Petition of Appellant for further review denied.

A-26-000928
Sarpy County School District v. Mikesell
ROD Order to Show Cause re Jurisdiction
Appeal dismissed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1). The record before us does not include a verified mandamus motion and Appellant failed to respond to our order to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. See State ex rel. Malone v. Baldonado-Bellamy, 307 Neb. 549, 950 N.W.2d 81 (2020) (filing of motion and affidavit or verified petition is jurisdictional requirement before district court may issue writ of mandamus). Consequently, the district court lacked jurisdiction over this matter and we do as well. See id. The order of the district court denying peremptory writ of mandamus is vacated for lack of jurisdiction.

A-26-000931
Jackson v. Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services
ROD Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction
Appeal dismissed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1). Appellant failed to file his petition in the district court of the county where the first adjudicated hearing regarding his dispute was held. See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-917(2)(a) (Cum. Supp. 2024) (requiring review "be instituted by filing a petition in the district court of the county where the action is taken within thirty days after the service of the final decision by the agency"). See also Estate of Schluntz v. Lower Republican NRD, 300 Neb. 582, 586, 915 N.W.2d 427, 431 (2018)(citing Nebraska Dept. of Health & Human Servs. v. Weekley, 274 Neb. 516, 741 N.W.2d 658 (2007) and Essman v. Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Ctr., 252 Neb. 347, 562 N.W.2d 355 (1997) (defining "county where the action is taken" as county where first adjudicated hearing regarding disputed claim was held). Because the district court lacked jurisdiction to consider appeal, this court also lacks jurisdiction. See Omaha Expo. & Racing v. Nebraska State Racing Comm., 307 Neb. 172, 949 N.W.2d 183 (2020).

A-26-000959
Valentine v. Omaha Police Department
ROD Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction
Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1). Although Appellant's timely notice of appeal bears a notary stamp, it contains no acknowledgement. See Moyer v Nebraska Dept. of Motor Vehicles, 275 Neb. 688, 747 N.W.2d 924 (2008) (affidavit must bear on its face by certificate of officer before whom it is taken evidence it was duly sworn to by party making same). Moreover, Appellant's poverty affidavit, filed in lieu of the statutory docket fee, is not notarized. A proper poverty affidavit serves as a substitute for the docket fee otherwise required upon appeal. See generally, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-2301.01 et seq. (Reissue 2016). The "affidavit" described in § 5-2301.01 requires the hallmarks of an affidavit such as the signature of the affiant and a certificate of an authorized officer. State v. Haase, 247 Neb. 817, 530 N.W.2d 617 (1995). Authentication of a poverty affidavit by a notary public and the timeliness of the document's filing are jurisdictional issues. State v. Guardiola, 32 Neb. App. 915, 8 N.W.3d

253 (2024).

A-26-000980
State v. Kaeylab B Gonzales
By order of Court re Record Prep Date
Case having been incorrectly certified by trial court as a felony with a seven-week bill of exceptions preparation time, record preparation date re-established to be December 10, 2026. Trial court clerk to notify court reporting personnel of this new date.

A-26-000980
State v. Kaeylab B Gonzales
Brief Date Re-established
By order of the Court, record preparation date having been re-established to be December 10, 2026, Appellant's brief date re-established to be January 11, 2027.

S-25-000553
State v. Latrail Washington, Jr.
Motion of Appellant to Expand Word Limit
Motion of Appellant to expand word count limit sustained in part; Appellant's word count limit to include both brief in chief and reply brief expanded to 19,806 words. Reply brief accepted as filed.

S-26-000096
State v. Jack E Harris
Motion Appt to Extend Reply Brief Date
Motion of Appellant to extend reply brief with answer to brief on cross-appeal sustained. Appellant's reply brief with answer to brief on cross-appeal date extended to November 30, 2026. Appellant's reply brief filed on November 19, 2026, is stricken.

S-26-000400
State v. Jorge A Galindo
Appellant's Replacement Brief Due
Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-109(F), original brief of Appellant filed on November 16, 2026, is stricken. Replacement brief ordered and limited to correction of the following deficiencies: page numbering in compliance with Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-103(C)(2). Replacement brief of Appellant is due on or before November 30, 2026. Failure to file a timely replacement brief in compliance with this order may subject the appeal to dismissal.

S-26-000494
State v. Nikko A Jenkins
Mot Appt Leave to file Mot Extend Brief
Motion of Appellant for leave to file motion to extend brief date overruled as moot.

S-26-000494
State v. Nikko A Jenkins
Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date
Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(3), Appellant's brief date extended to December 9, 2026.

S-26-000930
State v. Keanu Louis
Ruling Reserved on Motion to Withdraw
Ruling reserved pending supplementation of the appellate record to include a copy of the order appointing new counsel on appeal. Record to be supplemented within three days of this order.

S-26-000286
Wright v. McGill Gotsdiner Law Firm
Case ordered moved to Sup. Ct. docket
By order of the Nebraska Supreme Court, case moved from the Court of Appeals docket to the Supreme Court docket.