

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 4, 2026

Page:

1

A-25-000720

State v. Jeffrey T Howard

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentence is within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Rivera-Meister, 318 Neb. 164, 14 N.W.3d 1 (2024) (no abuse of discretion in sentence imposed when review of record shows sentencing court considered relevant sentencing factors and did not consider any inappropriate factors). Appellant's assignment of error alleging trial counsel was ineffective for (1) "not investigating defenses" and (2) "not communicating" with him is insufficiently stated and is therefore not reviewed. See State v. Rupp, 320 Neb. 502, 28 N.W.3d 74 (2025) (assignment of error alleging ineffective assistance of counsel must, standing alone, permit district court to later recognize that claim was raised on direct appeal; claim must be more than generalities of inadequate preparation or failure to introduce beneficial evidence; assignment is specific when it addresses specific issue that does not require additional information to understand precisely what assignment attacks).

A-25-000811

In re Interest of Trevor S. et al.

ROD Submission to Court
Affirmed. Riedmann, Chief Judge. (P)

A-25-000812

Perez Yax v. Packers Sanitation Servs.

Motion of Appellee for Attorney Fees
Appellee's motion for attorney fees is sustained in part. Appellant is ordered to pay Appellee \$16,000 for attorney fees incurred on appeal. See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 48-125(4)(b) (Reissue 2021) (if employer files appeal from compensation court award and fails to obtain reduction in amount of such award, appellate court shall allow employee reasonable attorney fee to be taxed as costs against employer for such appeal).

A-26-000013

Parrish v. Parrish

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's "Motion for Summary Affirmance or Motion to Dismiss" is overruled. Appellee's brief date extended to 14 days from entry of this order, making Appellee's brief due on or before August 18, 2026.

A-26-000030

State v. Joshua M Coffey

ROD Submission to Court - Excessive Sentence
Sentence vacated, and cause remanded for resentencing. Bishop, Judge.
See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-26-000031

State v. Daniel Casarrubia-Perez

ROD Submission to Court: Excessive Sentence
Affirmed. Welch, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 4, 2026

Page:

2

A-26-000032

State v. Joshua M Coffey

ROD Submission to Court - Excessive Sentence

Affirmed as modified. Bishop, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-26-000164

In re Interest of Brooklyn K.

By order of the Court re Consolidation

By Order of the Court; cases 26-0164, 26-0165, 26-0166, 26-0167 and 26-0168 consolidated for oral argument and disposition.

A-26-000165

In re Interest of Troy K.

By order of the Court re Consolidation

By Order of the Court; cases 26-0164, 26-0165, 26-0166, 26-0167 and 26-0168 consolidated for oral argument and disposition.

A-26-000166

In re Interest of Gabriel K.

By order of the Court re Consolidation

By Order of the Court; cases 26-0164, 26-0165, 26-0166, 26-0167 and 26-0168 consolidated for oral argument and disposition.

A-26-000167

In re Interest of Jaylynn L.

By order of the Court re Consolidation

By Order of the Court; cases 26-0164, 26-0165, 26-0166, 26-0167 and 26-0168 consolidated for oral argument and disposition.

A-26-000168

In re Interest of William K.

By order of the Court re Consolidation

By Order of the Court; cases 26-0164, 26-0165, 26-0166, 26-0167 and 26-0168 consolidated for oral argument and disposition.

A-26-000263

State v. Tiffany L Frye

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentence is within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Rivera-Meister, 318 Neb. 164, 14 N.W.3d 1 (2024) (no abuse of discretion in sentence imposed when review of record shows sentencing court considered relevant sentencing factors and did not consider any inappropriate factors).

Supreme Court of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 4, 2026

Page:

1

S-25-000644

Mathiesen v. First Interstate Bank

Motion of Appellant for Rehearing

Motion of Appellant for rehearing overruled.

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 4, 2026

Page:

1

A-25-000212

Storm v. Cornutt

ROD Petition of Appellant for Further Review

Petition of Appellant for further review denied.

A-25-000935

State v. Corey T Rotherham Jr.

ROD Petition of Appellant for Further Review

Petition of Appellant for further review denied.

A-26-000240

Depue v Mid-America Vulcanizing Inc

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's brief date extended to September 4, 2026.

A-26-000241

In re Estate of Ground

Motion Appt Extend Reply Brief Date

Motion of Appellant sustained, in part; Appellant's reply brief due on or before August 17, 2026.

A-26-000256

Jetz Service Co., Inc. v. Olderbak Enterprises North, LLC

By order of the Court BOE Prep Date

By order of the Court, time for preparation for bill of exceptions re-established to September 18, 2026.

A-26-000256

Jetz Service Co., Inc. v. Olderbak Enterprises North, LLC

Brief Date Re-Established

Record preparation date having been re-established to September 18, 2026, Appellant's brief date re-established to October 19, 2026.

Supreme Court of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 4, 2026

Page: 1

S-24-000064

State v. Evan J Mielak
Motion Appellant for Rehearing & Brief
Motion of Appellant for rehearing overruled.

S-25-000859

1 Cono Contracting v. Lopez
Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date
Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's brief date
extended to August 13, 2026.

S-26-000009

State v. Seth M Ferranti
Petition of Appellant Bypass COA
Petition of Appellant to bypass review by the Court of Appeals denied.

S-26-000009

State v. Seth M Ferranti
By order of the Court re Case Movement
By order of the Nebraska Supreme Court, case moved from the Court of
Appeals docket to the Supreme Court docket.

S-26-000527

State v. Terrell E Newman
ROD Misc Submission to Court - Jurisdiction
Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. §
2-107(A)(1).

ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE & AMENDED OPINIONS

S-25-000548

In re Estate of Mankhey
Order to Show Cause re Attorney Fees

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEBRASKA

In re Estate of Robert D.)
Mankhey, Deceased.)

No. S-25-0548.

Tracy Ahmad, f/k/a Tracy Mahnkey,)
n/k/a Tracy Bodlak,)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Appellee,)

v.)

Noelia Mankhey, Personal)
Representative,)

Appellant.)

FILED

AUG 04 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

Upon review by this Court, it appears that the order of the county court for Dakota County from which appellant Noelia Mankhey appeals, filed on July 7, 2025, recited, "The Court is not addressing attorneys' fees at this time. The Petition and Affidavit for Allowance of Claim references a possible motion for attorneys' fees. If Claimant wishes to file such a motion, Claimant shall do so within 14 days of the date of this Order." The record does not show whether such motion was timely filed within the 14-day period. The notice of appeal was filed on July 18, prior to the expiration of the 14-day period. See *In re Guardianship & Conservatorship of Woltemath*, 268 Neb. 33, 680 N.W.2d 142 (2004) (no appellate jurisdiction where trial court's order reserved issue of attorney fees).

THE COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION, HEREBY ORDERS that the parties make a showing within 7 days from the date of this order, by filing a duly certified supplemental transcript showing whether any such motion for attorney fees was timely filed and, if so, whether any disposition has been made on the motion by said county court, or otherwise show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

Dated this 4th day of August 2026.

BY THE COURT:



Chief Justice Jeffrey J. Funke



000107694NSC