

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 24, 2026

Page:

1

A-25-000204

State v. Hope T Npimnee

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Moore, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000280

In re Guardianship and Conservatorship of Jolene M.

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Riedmann, Chief Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000428

State v. Haleigh J Lafave

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Moore, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000587

State v. David K Mumm

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Bishop, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000624

Schroeder v. Clouse

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument
Affirmed. Riedmann, Chief Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000649

State v. Bryce E Kyle

ROD Submission to Court re Plea
Affirmed. Moore, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000765

State v. Taron D Cooper, Sr

ROD Submission to Court - Excessive Sentence
Affirmed. Bishop, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000766

State v. Taron D Cooper, Sr

ROD Submission to Court - Excessive Sentence
Affirmed. Bishop, Judge. See Memorandum Web Opinion.

A-25-000769

State v. Austin J Meyn

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentences are within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Rivera-Meister, 318 Neb. 164, 14 N.W.3d 1 (2024) (no abuse of discretion in sentence imposed when review of record shows sentencing court considered all relevant sentencing factors and did not consider any inappropriate factors).

A-25-000770

State v. Austin J Meyn

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 24, 2026

Page:

2

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentences are within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See *State v. Rivera-Meister*, 318 Neb. 164, 14 N.W.3d 1 (2024) (no abuse of discretion in sentence imposed when review of record shows sentencing court considered all relevant sentencing factors and did not consider any inappropriate factors).

A-25-000805

State v. Tobias R DeMilt

ROD Mot Appe Summary Dismissal Mootness
Appellee's motion for summary disposition is sustained; appeal dismissed on grounds of mootness. Appellant has completed his jail sentence; no meaningful relief is available, and no public interest exception applies. See *State v. Roberts*, 304 Neb. 395, 934 N.W.2d 845 (2019) (appeal of conviction is moot when criminal defendant has completely served sentence; no meaningful relief remains available).

A-25-000910

State v. Corey R Miller

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date
Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's brief date extended to April 22, 2026.

A-26-000095

City of Sargent, Nebraska v. Koch

Motion of Appt for Immediate Injunction
Appellant's motion for injunction filed with this court on February 25, 2026, is overruled as moot.

A-26-000095

City of Sargent, Nebraska v. Koch

ROD Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction
Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1). Appellant has appealed from the district court's order of January 9, 2026, dismissing his counterclaim, and the order entered February 5, denying his motion to dismiss the action. The January 9 order is not yet appealable because it did not resolve all of the claims of all parties, as required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-1315(1) (Reissue 2016). See, e.g., *Mathiesen v. Kellogg*, 315 Neb. 840, 1 N.W.3d 888 (2024). In addition, a denial of a motion to dismiss is not a final order. In re *Interest of Kirsten H.*, 25 Neb. App. 909, 915 N.W.2d 815 (2018); *Herman Trust v. Brashear 711 Trust*, 22 Neb. App. 758, 860 N.W.2d 431 (2015).

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 24, 2026

Page:

1

A-25-000822

State v. Dayton L Aguayo

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2); State v. Geller, 318 Neb. 441, 16 N.W.3d 365 (2025) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal absent abuse of discretion by trial court). The sentences in each case are affirmed, however, we modify the credit for time served to apply to the aggregate of all terms imposed. See, State v. Nelson, 318 Neb. 484, 16 N.W.3d 883 (2025) (when multiple sentences imposed contemporaneously, whether sentences are ordered served consecutively or concurrently, all available credit for time served under § 83-1,106(1) applied just once to aggregate of all terms imposed).

A-25-000824

State v. Dayton L Aguayo

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2); State v. Geller, 318 Neb. 441, 16 N.W.3d 365 (2025) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal absent abuse of discretion by trial court). The sentences in each case are affirmed, however, we modify the credit for time served to apply to the aggregate of all terms imposed. See, State v. Nelson, 318 Neb. 484, 16 N.W.3d 883 (2025) (when multiple sentences imposed contemporaneously, whether sentences are ordered served consecutively or concurrently, all available credit for time served under § 83-1,106(1) applied just once to aggregate of all terms imposed).

A-25-000825

State v. Dayton L Aguayo

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2); State v. Geller, 318 Neb. 441, 16 N.W.3d 365 (2025) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal absent abuse of discretion by trial court). The sentences in each case are affirmed, however, we modify the credit for time served to apply to the aggregate of all terms imposed. See, State v. Nelson, 318 Neb. 484, 16 N.W.3d 883 (2025) (when multiple sentences imposed contemporaneously, whether sentences are ordered served consecutively or concurrently, all available credit for time served under § 83-1,106(1) applied just once to aggregate of all terms imposed).

A-25-000919

State v. Justin L Sexton

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance is sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The sentence imposed was not an abuse of discretion. See, State v. Hagens, 320 Neb. 65, 26 N.W.3d 174 (2025) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal in absence of abuse of discretion by trial court); State v.

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 24, 2026

Page: 2

Hagens, 320 Neb. 65, 26 N.W.3d 174 (2025) (it is not proper function of appellate court to conduct de novo review of record to determine what sentence it would impose).

A-25-000946

State v. Juan J Contreras

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion considered; Appellee's brief date extended to 14 days after court's ruling on Appellee's motion for summary affirmance.

A-26-000020

Larson v. Pacific Ethanol, Inc. and Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co.,

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E) (2), Appellant's brief date extended to May 13, 2026.

A-26-000043

State v. Ross L Rivera

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E) (2), Appellant's brief date extended to April 27, 2026.

A-26-000044

State v. Ross L Rivera

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E) (2), Appellant's brief date extended to April 27, 2026.

A-26-000045

Macaulay v. Worrell

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E) (2), Appellant's brief date extended to May 11, 2026.

A-26-000129

Ballard v. Nebraska Department of Corrections

Motion of Appellant for Additional Time

Appellant's request for extension of time is overruled as moot.

A-26-000129

Ballard v. Nebraska Department of Corrections

ROD Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction

Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A) (1). Appellant has not properly perfected his appeal. His poverty affidavit, filed on January 12, 2026, in lieu of the statutory docket fee, is not notarized. A proper poverty affidavit serves as a substitute for the docket fee otherwise required upon appeal. See generally, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-2301.01 et seq. (Reissue 2016). The 'affidavit' described in § 25-2301.01 requires the hallmarks of an affidavit such as the signature of the affiant and a certificate of an authorized officer. State v. Haase, 247 Neb. 817, 820, 530 N.W.2d 617, 619 (1995).

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 24, 2026

Page:

3

A-26-000196

Byrnes v. Kroger

ROD

Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction

Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1). The record now before this court indicates that the district court has not yet signed a "single written document," as specified in Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-1301(2) (Cum. Supp. 2024), constituting the final determination of the rights of the parties and stating all the relief granted or denied in the action. It therefore has not yet rendered judgment. See D&M Roofing & Siding v. Distribution, Inc., 316 Neb. 952, 7 N.W.3d 868 (2024). In addition, Appellants have failed to pay a docket fee or file a proper poverty affidavit in the matter. See Neb. Rev. Stat. §25-1912(1) (Cum. Supp. 2024).

Supreme Court of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 24, 2026

Page:

1

S-25-000351

State ex rel. Counsel for Discipline v. Flynn

By order of the Court re Referee Appoint

By order of the Court, referee appointed. Stephanie Hupp appointed to
serve as referee.