

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page:

1

A-25-000892

State v. Suni R. Moone

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellee sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P.

§ 2-106(E)(3), Appellee's brief date extended to September 9, 2026.

A-26-000028

State v. Lari J McClellan

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellee sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P.

§ 2-106(E)(3), Appellee's brief date extended to September 9, 2026. No further extensions will be granted without a showing of exceptional cause.

A-26-000041

State v. Levi R McAlevy

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellant sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P.

§ 2-106(E)(4), Appellant's brief date extended to September 23, 2026.

No further extensions will be granted without a showing of exceptional cause.

A-26-000070

State v. Nathaniel J Cullum

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellee sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P.

§ 2-106(E)(2), Appellee's brief date extended to September 9, 2026.

A-26-000078

Coy v. Coy

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellee sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P.

§ 2-106(E)(2), Appellee's brief date extended to September 11, 2026.

A-26-000103

State v. Chad M May

Order to Show Cause re Co-Counsel

Cause having been shown, motion of Haoxuan Li and the Adams County Public Defender's Office is sustained.

A-26-000104

State v. Chad M May

Order to Show Cause re Co-Counsel

Cause having been shown, motion of Haoxuan Li and the Adams County Public Defender's Office is sustained.

A-26-000116

State v. Brian K Adams

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance sustained; judgment

affirmed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). A motion for postconviction relief cannot be used to secure review of issues which were or could have been litigated on direct appeal, no matter how

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page: 2

those issues may be phrased or rephrased. State v. German, 321 Neb. 652, 36 N.W.3d 458 (2026).

A-26-000134

State v. Michael E Cherry

Motion Appe/X-Appt to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellee sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P.

§ 2-106(E)(3), Appellee's brief date extended to September 4, 2026. No further extensions allowed without a showing of exceptional cause.

A-26-000038

State v. Michael E Cherry

Motion Appe/X-Appt to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellee sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P.

§ 2-106(E)(3), Appellee's brief date extended to September 4, 2026. No further extensions allowed without a showing of exceptional cause.

A-26-000204

State v. Vince Burkhart

ROD

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) sustained; judgment affirmed. Parties who wish to secure appellate review of their claims must abide by the rules of the Nebraska Supreme Court. State v. Anthony, 320 Neb. 757, 30 N.W.3d 187 (2026). Any party who fails to properly identify and present its claim does so at its own peril. Id. Further, appellant's assigned error for ineffective assistance of trial counsel lacks specificity to garner appellate review. See State v. Rupp, 320 Neb. 502, 28 N.W.3d 74 (2025) (assignment of error must, standing alone, describe counsel's deficient performance).

A-26-000225

State v. Brendan J Wubbels

ROD

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). Sentence imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Jones, 318 Neb. 840, 19 N.W.3d 499 (2025) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence imposed within statutory limits).

A-26-000250

State v. Amuk J James

ROD

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). Sentence imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Jones, 318 Neb. 840, 19 N.W.3d 499 (2025) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence imposed within statutory limits).

A-26-000261

State v. Grantt A Crear

ROD

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance is sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The sentence imposed was not an abuse of

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page: 3

discretion. See *State v. Rejai*, 320 Neb. 599, 29 N.W.3d 225 (2026) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal in absence of abuse of discretion by trial court); *State v. Greer*, 309 Neb. 667, 962 N.W.2d 217 (2021) (sentencing court is not required to articulate on record that it has considered each sentencing factor nor make specific findings as to facts pertaining to factors or weight given them).

A-26-000312

State v. Christal M Hiles

Request to Extend Bill Exceptions

Request of court reporting personnel for extension to prepare the bill of exceptions granted; time for preparation of bill of exceptions extended to August 14, 2026; trial court clerk to notify court reporting personnel of the extension.

A-26-000312

State v. Christal M Hiles

Appellant's Brief Date Extended

Record preparation date having been extended to August 14, 2026, Appellant's brief date extended to September 14, 2026.

A-26-000361

In re Interest of D'myah W.

By Order of Court re Replacement BOE

Tendered bill of exceptions considered. Upon review, the bill of exceptions transferred from the trial court does not comply with Neb. Ct. R. App. P. §§ 2-105.01 and 2-105.02. See deficiency listing. Said bill of exceptions is declined and ordered removed from the trial court's register of actions. Court reporting personnel directed to file a replacement bill of exceptions compliant with Nebraska Court Rules of Appellate Practice within ten days of the issuance of this order.

A-26-000361

In re Interest of D'myah W.

Appellant's Brief Date Extended

Replacement bill of exceptions due on or before August 22, 2026, Appellant's brief date extended to September 21, 2026.

A-26-000367

Bayson v. Southeast Community College

Mot Appt Status Update on Motion/Notice

"Motion for requesting status update on pending motions and notice of trial-court inaction" received. At his request, Appellant has been frequently provided docket sheets, which contain a current listing of filings and dispositions in his appeal, and upon information and belief, Appellant is fully informed of the current status of his appeal. Any inaction of the trial court on pending issues shall be addressed by that court. No further action to be taken regarding this subject in the appellate case. Appeal shall proceed in accordance with Nebraska Court Rules of Appellate Practice.

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page:

4

A-26-000378

State v. Trey L Norton

Request to Extend Bill Exceptions

Request of court reporting personnel for extension to prepare the bill of exceptions granted; time for preparation of bill of exceptions extended to August 14, 2026; trial court clerk to notify court reporting personnel of the extension.

A-26-000378

State v. Trey L Norton

Appellant's Brief Date Extended

Record preparation date having been extended to August 14, 2026, Appellant's brief date extended to September 14, 2026.

A-26-000382

Wright v. Dial

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellant sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's brief date extended to August 31, 2026. Clerk's office directed to provide Appellant link to requested documents.

A-26-000394

State v. Javaris Hesseltine

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellant sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(3), Appellant's brief date extended to August 31, 2026.

A-26-000406

Swartz v. Swartz

Order to Show Cause re Late Request BOE

Good cause for failure to request bill of exceptions at the same time the notice of appeal was filed having not been shown, motion for leave to request bill of exceptions out-of-time overruled. Matter to proceed as if no bill of exceptions was requested. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-105(B)(4)(c).

A-26-000431

State v. Nyachang Dak

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellant sustained. Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(3), Appellant's brief date extended to September 11, 2026.

A-26-000431

State v. Nyachang Dak

Motion to Withdraw - Kleveland for Appt

Motion of counsel for Appellant to withdraw, having been made in compliance with Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(F), is sustained. Counsel Kleveland allowed to withdraw as counsel for the Appellant.

A-26-000487

Smith v. Millard Gutter Company

Order to Show Cause re Late BOE Request

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page: 5

After reviewing response to order to show cause issued following late request for supplemental bill of exceptions, court acknowledges that said late request has been withdrawn, and record shall be prepared without matters requested in said supplemental bill of exceptions.

A-26-000495

King v. Cozad

By Order of Court re Replacement BOE

Tendered bill of exceptions considered. Upon review, the bill of exceptions transferred from the trial court does not comply with Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-105.01. See deficiency listing. Said bill of exceptions is declined and ordered removed from the trial court's register of actions. Court reporting personnel directed to file a replacement bill of exceptions compliant with Nebraska Court Rules of Appellate Practice within ten days of the issuance of this order.

A-26-000495

King v. Cozad

Appellant Brief Date Extended

Replacement bill of exceptions due on or before August 22, 2026, Appellant's brief date extended to September 21, 2026.

A-26-000496

Karpf v. Korth

Motion of Appt for Ex Parte Order

Motion of Appellant for an ex parte order is overruled in case No. A-26-567. The motion for contempt she seeks this Court to dismiss is not the subject of any appeal before this Court, nor is it contained in the record of the case. Any relief requested in the motion can be addressed in a properly perfected appeal. Appellant's requests for appointment of an alternate district court judge and for in forma pauperis status are matters that can be addressed through the appeal process. Because the denial of in forma pauperis on appeal is before us in case No. A-26-567, Appellant's motion is moot in case No. A-26-496, as we do not yet have jurisdiction over that case, pending resolution of A-26-567. See Mumin v. Frakes, 298 Neb. 381, 904 N.W.2d 667 (2017) (holding underlying appeal under submission until Appellant acts on resolution of in forma pauperis appeal).

A-26-000500

Peak v. Rana

ROD

APPT Default Notice

By order of the Court, appeal dismissed for failure to file briefs pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-110(A); mandate to issue accordingly.

A-26-000508

State v. Roberto Dominguez-Gutierrez

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Motion of Appellant for extension of brief date overruled as moot.

Brief of Appellant filed August 10, 2026 is subject to a replacement order entered August 11, 2026. Appellant's replacement brief is due on or before August 14, 2026.

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page:

6

A-26-000523

State v. Michel O Mikaya

Request to Extend Bill Exceptions

Request of court reporting personnel for extension to prepare the bill of exceptions granted; time for preparation of bill of exceptions extended to September 7, 2026; trial court clerk to notify court reporting personnel of the extension.

A-26-000523

State v. Michel O Mikaya

Appellant Brief Date Extended

Record preparation date having been extended to September 7, 2026, Appellant's brief date extended to October 7, 2026, 2026.

A-26-000551

McLean v. Puerto Rico Board of Education

Motion to Extend Replacement Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's replacement brief date extended to August 26, 2026.

A-26-000552

McLean v. United States Federal Government

Motion to Extend Replacement Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's replacement brief date extended to August 26, 2026.

A-26-000553

McLean v. Government of Puerto Rico

Motion to Extend Replacement Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's replacement brief date extended to August 26, 2026.

A-26-000567

Karpf v. Korth

Motion of Appt for Ex Parte Order

Motion of Appellant for an ex parte order is overruled in case No.

A-26-567. The motion for contempt she seeks this Court to dismiss is not the subject of any appeal before this Court, nor is it contained

in the record of the case. Any relief requested in the motion can be addressed in a properly perfected appeal. Appellant's requests for

appointment of an alternate district court judge and for in forma pauperis status are matters that can be addressed through the appeal

process. Because the denial of in forma pauperis on appeal

is before us in case No. A-26-567, Appellant's motion is moot in case

No. A-26-496, as we do not yet have jurisdiction over that case,

pending resolution of A-26-567. See Mumin v. Frakes, 298 Neb. 381, 904 N.W.2d 667 (2017) (holding underlying appeal under submission until

Appellant acts on resolution of in forma pauperis appeal).

A-26-000568

State v. Zachary H Boiko

Praecipe for Bill of Exceptions

Motion of Appellant for leave to file request for supplemental bill of

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page: 7

exceptions sustained, and praecipe for supplemental bill of exceptions having been filed on August 11, 2026, record preparation date re-established as September 29, 2026. Trial court clerk to notify court reporting personnel of due date for bill of exceptions.

A-26-000568

State v. Zachary H Boiko

Appellant's Brief Date Re-Established

Record preparation date having been re-established to be September 29, 2026, Appellant's brief date re-established to be October 29, 2026.

A-26-000583

State v. Juaquez Johnson

Motion Appellant for Verified Statement

Motion of Appellant for verified statement overruled.

A-26-000583

State v. Juaquez Johnson

Motion Appt for Appointment of Counsel

Motion of Appellant for counsel overruled without prejudice to seeking relief in the trial court.

A-26-000583

State v. Juaquez Johnson

Motion of Appellant for Case Files

Motion of Appellant for case files overruled without prejudice to seeking relief in the trial court.

A-26-000597

State v. David E Goodwin III

ROD

Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction

Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1). The July 14, 2026, order of custodial sanction does not affect the appellant's substantial right, and is not a final, appealable order. See State v. Thalmann, 302 Neb. 110, 921 N.W.2d 816 (2019) (order imposing custodial sanction does not affect substantial right and is not final; appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction). Attorney Christine Mori's motion to withdraw as counsel for appellant is moot.

A-26-000597

State v. David E Goodwin III

Motion to Withdraw - Mori for Appellant

Case having been dismissed for jurisdiction, motion to withdraw overruled as moot.

A-26-000599

State v. Elmer S Galicia Ruiz

Order to Show Cause re Late BOE Request

Cause having been shown, amended praecipe for bill of exceptions filed July 23, 2026, and second amended praecipe for bill of exceptions filed July 30, 2026, accepted. Preparation date for bill of exceptions extended to September 2, 2026. Trial court clerk to notify court reporting personnel of new preparation date.

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page:

8

A-26-000599

State v. Elmer S Galicia Ruiz

Appellant's Brief Date Extended

Record preparation date having been extended to September 2, 2026,
Appellant's brief date extended to October 2, 2026, 2026.

A-26-000619

Kosse v. Kosse

Motion of Appt to Expand Brf Word Limit

Motion of Appellant to expand brief word limit sustained. Appellant
allowed 27,500 on brief in chief and an additional 2,500 words on
reply. Appellant total brief word limit expanded to 30,000 words to
include brief in chief and reply brief combined.

A-26-000643

State v. Ki D Wah

Ruling reserved re Motion to Withdraw

Motion to withdraw as counsel by attorney Schwindt considered and held
under advisement. Attorney Schwindt directed to file for withdrawal as
counsel, and if appropriate, for appointment of replacement counsel,
with the trial court. Upon supplementation of appellate record showing
trial court order ruling on attorney Schwindt's motion to withdraw as
counsel, attorney Schwindt's motion to withdraw as counsel filed in
this court will be reconsidered. Motion will be overruled without
further notice if appellate record is not supplemented as indicated
within seven days of this order.

ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE & AMENDED OPINIONS

A-26-000474

State v. Tanner G.

OSC re: District Court's 6-9-26 Order

A-26-000475

State v. Tanner G.

Order to Show Cause re: Jurisdiction

A-26-000577

Schmidt v. Health and Human Service

Order to Show Cause re: Jurisdiction

A-26-000612

State v. Bryon L Jones

Order to Show Cause re: Late BOE Request

A-26-000619

Kosse v. Kosse

Order to Show Cause re Late BOE Payment

Supreme Court of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: August 12, 2026

Page:

1

S-26-000365

State v. Jaron Dean

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion considered; Appellee's brief date extended to 14 days after
the court's ruling on Appellee's motion for summary affirmance.

FILED

AUG 12 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

IN THE NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

State of Nebraska,

Appellee,

v.

Tanner Graf,

Appellant.

No. A-~~26~~ 474.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(3), the parties shall show cause within 10 days of entry of this order why the district court's June 9, 2026, order should not be summarily reversed in light of controlling precedent set forth in *State v. Cardenas*, 314 Neb. 544, 990 N.W.2d 915 (2023) (determination of whether individual is a juvenile for transfer purposes under Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-247 (Cum. Supp. 2024) should be based on individual's age on date when individual was charged with offense; if individual is a juvenile on date he or she is charged with offense, juvenile court may exercise jurisdiction over that individual under relevant subsection and may continue to exercise jurisdiction under § 43-247(12) until individual reaches the age of majority, and if otherwise appropriate, case involving individual who was a juvenile when charged in county court or district court may be transferred to juvenile court until that individual reaches age of majority). Absent persuasive authority provided to this court in response to this order to show cause, this appeal shall be summarily reversed.

Dated this 12th day of August, 2026.

BY THE COURT:


Riko Bishop, Judge



FILED

AUG 12 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

IN THE NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

State of Nebraska,

Appellee,

v.

Tanner Graf,

Appellant.

No. A-26-475.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The appellant is ordered to show cause within 10 days of entry of this order why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1).

On March 23, 2026, the district court entered an order denying appellant's motion to transfer his criminal case to the juvenile court. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 29-1816(4) (Cum. Supp. 2024) provides, in relevant part: "An order granting or denying transfer of the case from county or district court to juvenile court shall be considered a final order for the purposes of appeal. Upon entry of an order, any party may appeal to the Court of Appeals within ten days." See also *State v. Cardenas*, 314 Neb. 544, 990 N.W.2d 915 (2023) (juvenile transfer statute provides strict deadlines designed to expedite consideration and completion of any transfer from county court or district court to juvenile court and requires an expedited decision and appeal to facilitate transfer while individual is still under age of majority and remains within juvenile court jurisdiction). See also *State v. Uhing*, 301 Neb 768, 919 N.W.2d 909 (2018) (Legislature fixes time for taking appeal and courts have no power to extend time directly or indirectly; motion to reconsider did not extend time for appeal, which had run 10 days after transfer motion was denied).




000107373NSC

Appellant is directed to provide any authority to support that his April 2, 2026, motion for rehearing tolled the time to appeal from the March 23 order denying his motion to transfer. Absent persuasive authority provided to this court in response to this order to show cause, this appeal shall be summarily dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

Dated this 12th day of August, 2026.

BY THE COURT:


Riko Bishop, Judge

FILED

AUG 12 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

IN THE NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

Donavon J. Schmidt, by and)
through Jeanne M. Schmidt,)
attorney in fact and next friend,)

No. A-26-577.

Appellant,)

v.)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Nebraska Department of)
Health and Human Services, et)
al.,)

Appellees.)

This matter is before us for purposes of jurisdictional review. The record now before this court indicates that appellant timely filed a petition for review pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-917 (Reissue 2024). However, the record does not include an indication that summons was timely served. Section 84-917(2)(a) provides that “[s]ummons shall be served on the agency within thirty days of the filing of the petition in the manner provided for service of a summons in section 25-510.02.” The filing of the petition and the service of summons are the two actions that are necessary to establish jurisdiction pursuant to the APA. *City of Omaha v. C.A. Howell, Inc.*, 20 Neb. App. 711, 832 N.W.2d 30 (2013) (citing *Essman v. Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Ctr.*, 252 Neb. 347, 562 N.W.2d 355 (1997)).

Appellant is directed to provide this court with a supplemental transcript within 14 days showing that summons was properly and timely served in this case following the filing of the petition for review. Failure to respond shall be cause for this appeal to be summarily dismissed pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1).

Dated this 12th day of August, 2026.

BY THE COURT:



Tricia A. Freeman, Judge



000107350NSC

FILED

AUG 12 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

IN THE NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

State of Nebraska,	)	No. A-26-0612
Appellee,	)	
v.	)	
	)	
Bryon L. Jones,	)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
Appellant.	)	
	)	
	)	

On July 24, 2026, the Appellant, Bryon L. Jones, appealed the Douglas County District Court's denial of his verified motion for postconviction relief. Appellant untimely filed his request for bill of exceptions on August 5.

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-105(B)(2)(f), late requests for the preparation of the bill of exceptions may not be filed "without leave of the appellate court for good cause shown, which cause shall not be within a party's reasonable control." Appellant has not requested leave of this court to file a late praecipe for a bill of exceptions and has not alleged good cause for his failure to timely request preparation of the bill of exceptions.

Appellant, having failed to request, and receive, leave of this court to file an untimely praecipe for a bill of exceptions is ordered to show cause, within 10 days of this order, why the appeal should not proceed as if no praecipe for a bill of exceptions had been requested.

Dated this 12 day of August, 2026.

BY THE COURT:


Lawrence E. Welch, Judge



FILED

AUG 12 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

IN THE NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

	)	No. A-26-0619
Karlie L. Kosse, n/k/a Karlie L.	)	
Kohl,	)	
Appellee,	)	
v.	)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
	)	
Nathan M. Kosse,	)	
Appellant.	)	
	)	

Appellant Nathan M. Kosse has appealed from the May 27, 2026, dissolution decree filed by the Buffalo County District Court and July 17 order relating to motions for a new trial and motions to alter or amend. Appellant filed his notice of appeal on July 24 and timely filed a praecipe for a bill of exceptions. On July 29, an estimated cost for the bill of exceptions was filed noting that the estimated amount had to be paid within 7 days. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-105(B)(4)(b). Appellant paid the estimated costs of the bill of exceptions on August 6, which was one day late.

Although an untimely payment for the estimated cost of the bill of exceptions has been made, pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-105(B)(4):

(c) Failure to Make Deposit. If the requesting party fails to timely make the required deposit of the estimated cost of preparation, the clerk of the trial court shall transmit a notice thereof to the Clerk and to the court reporting personnel responsible for making the record. Thereafter, unless leave of the appellate court for an extension of time to make the deposit is granted for good cause shown, the appeal shall proceed as if no bill of exceptions had been requested.




000107211NSC

The appellant, having failed to request, and receive, leave of this appellate court for an extension of time to make the deposit for good cause shown, is hereby ordered to show cause, within 10 days of the date of this order, why the appeal should not proceed as if no bill of exceptions had been requested.

Dated this 12th day of August, 2026.

BY THE COURT:



Lawrence E. Welch, Judge

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS DEFICIENCY LISTING

FILED

AUG 12 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

REPLACEMENT ORDER DATE:

AUGUST 12, 2026

TRIAL COURT CASE #: **JV23-214**

APPELLATE CASE #: **26-361**

TRIAL COURT JUDGE: **Sarah M Moore**

COURT REPORTER: **Debi Patzner**

SECTION	NEEDS CORRECTION	Nebraska Court Rule / JUSTICE Requirement	COMMENTS
TITLE PAGE • Case Caption • Case Number • Volume • Contents • Presiding Judge • Parties Present • Hearing dates	☐		
ARE VOLUME NUMBERS CONSECUTIVE?	☐	JUSTICE coding requires volume numbers to match the Register of Actions	
INDEXES • Accuracy	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)	See comments below
WITNESS INDEX COLUMNS • Direct, cross, redirect, & recross	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)(a)	
MOTIONS INDEX COLUMNS • Where made, ruled on	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)(b)	
EXHIBIT INDEX COLUMNS • Marked, offered, ruled on, and volume found	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)(c)	See comments below
BOOKMARKS • Testimony Volume • Documentary Exhibit Volume	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(2)	See comments below
FORMAT • Searchable PDF • Font • Margins • Line Numbers • Visually neat • Witnesses on top of page	☒	§2-105.01(E)	See comments below
		Vol 1 - The full name of each witness and whether the examination is direct, cross, or further examination shall be stated at the top of each page of the witness' testimony. Vol 2 - Remove blank and unnumbered pages in Exhibit 1 Vol 2 - Is page 35 of exhibit 104 scanned correctly?	
CERTIFICATE • Last page of verbatim volume • Statement of cost	☐	§ 2-105.01 (F)	See comments below
SEPARATE EXHIBIT VOLUMES	☐	§ 2-105.02(A)	



BILL OF EXCEPTIONS DEFICIENCY LISTING

REPLACEMENT ORDER DATE: AUGUST 12, 2026	TRIAL COURT JUDGE: Sarah M Moore
TRIAL COURT CASE #: JV23-214	COURT REPORTER: Debi Patzner
APPELLATE CASE #: 26-361	

SECTION	NEEDS CORRECTION	Nebraska Court Rule / JUSTICE Requirement	COMMENTS
EXHIBITS MARKED AND NUMBERED Each exhibit must have its own page numbering	☒	§ 2-105.02(B)	See comments below
			Exhibits 120, 124 do not have page numbers Exhibit 126 is consecutively numbered with exhibit 125 There are two exhibits numbered 126
DOCUMENTARY EXHIBIT VOLUMES All exhibits that are not audio or video	☐	§ 2-105.02(C)	
PHYSICAL MEDIA EXHIBIT VOLUME FILED IN TRIAL COURT?	☐		
MEDIA EXHIBIT VOLUME One volume for all media	☐	§ 2-105.02(D)(6)	

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS DEFICIENCY LISTING

FILED

AUG 12 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
COURT APPEALS

REPLACEMENT ORDER DATE:

AUGUST 12, 2026

TRIAL COURT CASE #: **CI25-185**

APPELLATE CASE #: **26-495**

TRIAL COURT JUDGE: **Geoffrey C. Hall**

COURT REPORTER: **Julie Hagerbaumer**

SECTION	NEEDS CORRECTION	Nebraska Court Rule / JUSTICE Requirement	COMMENTS
TITLE PAGE • Case Caption • Case Number • Volume • Contents • Presiding Judge • Parties Present • Hearing dates	☐		
ARE VOLUME NUMBERS CONSECUTIVE?	☐	JUSTICE coding requires volume numbers to match the Register of Actions	
INDEXES • Accuracy	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)	See comments below
WITNESS INDEX COLUMNS • Direct, cross, redirect, & recross	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)(a)	
MOTIONS INDEX COLUMNS • Where made, ruled on	☐	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)(b)	
EXHIBIT INDEX COLUMNS • Marked, offered, ruled on, and volume found	☒	§ 2-105.01(D)(1)(c)	See comments below
		Exhibit index is missing marked and offered columns Exhibit Found column is empty	
BOOKMARKS • Testimony Volume • Documentary Exhibit Volume	☒	§ 2-105.01(D)(2)	See comments below
		There are no bookmarks for the exhibits listed on the Index as required by rule.	
FORMAT • Searchable PDF • Font • Margins • Line Numbers • Visually neat • Witnesses on top of page	☐	§2-105.01(E)	See comments below
CERTIFICATE • Last page of verbatim volume • Statement of cost	☐	§ 2-105.01 (F)	See comments below
SEPARATE EXHIBIT VOLUMES	☐	§ 2-105.02(A)	
EXHIBITS MARKED AND NUMBERED • Each exhibit must have its own page numbering	☐	§ 2-105.02(B)	See comments below



000107214NSC

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS DEFICIENCY LISTING

REPLACEMENT ORDER DATE: AUGUST 12, 2026	TRIAL COURT JUDGE: Geoffrey C. Hall
TRIAL COURT CASE #: CI25-185	COURT REPORTER: Julie Hagerbaumer
APPELLATE CASE #: 26-495	

SECTION	NEEDS CORRECTION	Nebraska Court Rule / JUSTICE Requirement	COMMENTS
DOCUMENTARY EXHIBIT VOLUMES • All exhibits that are not audio or video	☐	§ 2-105.02(C)	
PHYSICAL MEDIA EXHIBIT VOLUME FILED IN TRIAL COURT?	☐		
MEDIA EXHIBIT VOLUME One volume for all media	☐	§ 2-105.02(D)(6)	