

Court of Appeals of Nebraska  
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 27, 2026

Page:

1

A-25-000468

Dibbern v. Dibbern

Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission

By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed from the April Review Docket of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

A-25-000610

In re Interest of Aiden S.

Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission

By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed from the April Review Docket of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

A-25-000734

State v. Clinton N Hummer

ROD

Order to Show Cause re Mootness 3/26

Appeal dismissed as moot. See Al-Ameen v. Frakes, 293 Neb. 248, 876 N.W.2d 635 (2016). Appeal relates solely to Appellant's sentence which has been served. A case becomes moot when the issues initially presented in litigation cease to exist or the litigants lack a legally cognizable interest in the outcome of litigation. Id. A moot case is one which seeks to determine a question which does not rest upon existing facts or rights, in which the issues presented are no longer alive. Id.

A-25-000774

Jacob v. Global Tel\*Link Corp.

Motion Appt Ext to Respond to Mot S/A

Motion of Appellant for extension of time to file a response to Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. Response filed March 27, 2026 accepted as filed.

A-25-000907

Fraction v. James

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion considered; Appellee's brief date extended to 14 days after court's ruling on Appellee's motion for summary affirmance.

A-25-000956

State v. Dammon T Haynes

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion considered; Appellee's brief date extended to 14 days after court's ruling on Appellee's motion for summary affirmance.

A-25-000957

State v. Dammon T Haynes

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion considered; Appellee's brief date extended to 14 days after court's ruling on Appellee's motion for summary affirmance.

A-26-000007

In re Estate of Parks

Court of Appeals of Nebraska  
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 27, 2026

Page:

2

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's brief date extended to May 6, 2026.

A-26-000138

Elhabbal v. Elhabbal

Mot. Appt Expedited Stay Pending Appeal

Appellant's emergency motion for stay pending appeal and request for expedited consideration is denied. Appellant's request for a bond waiver or nominal bond was denied by the district court on February 27, 2026. A supersedeas bond is necessary to stay execution. See *Welton v. Baltezare*, 17 Neb. 399, 23 N.W. 1 (1885); see also Neb. Rev. Stat. §25-1907 (Reissue 2016 & Cum. Supp. 2024). If a supersedeas bond has not been filed, the court retains jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the judgment. *Kricsfeld v. Kricsfeld*, 8 Neb. App. 1, 588 N.W.2d 210 (1999); see also Neb. Rev. Stat. § 42-351 (Reissue 2016).

A-26-000163

Elhabbal v. Elhabbal

Mot. Appt Expedited Stay Pending Appeal

Appellant's emergency motion for stay pending appeal and request for expedited consideration is denied. Appellant's request for a bond waiver or nominal bond was denied by the district court on February 27, 2026. A supersedeas bond is necessary to stay execution. See *Welton v. Baltezare*, 17 Neb. 399, 23 N.W. 1 (1885); see also Neb. Rev. Stat. §25-1907 (Reissue 2016 & Cum. Supp. 2024). If a supersedeas bond has not been filed, the court retains jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the judgment. *Kricsfeld v. Kricsfeld*, 8 Neb. App. 1, 588 N.W.2d 210 (1999); see also Neb. Rev. Stat. § 42-351 (Reissue 2016).

ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE & AMENDED OPINIONS

A-26-000207

In re Estate of Metcalf

Order to Show Cause re Jurisdiction

Supreme Court of Nebraska  
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 27, 2026

Page:

1

S-24-000944

Goldie v. McNeil & Company Builders LLC

ROD Submission to Court

Affirmed. Funke, Chief Justice.

S-25-000096

In re Interest of Joel T. et al.

ROD Submission to Court

Affirmed. Bergevin, Justice.

S-25-000097

In re Interest of Joel T. et al.

ROD Submission to Court

Affirmed. Bergevin, Justice.

S-25-000098

In re Interest of Joel T. et al.

ROD Submission to Court

Affirmed. Bergevin, Justice.

S-25-000099

In re Interest of Joel T. et al.

ROD Submission to Court

Affirmed. Bergevin, Justice.

S-25-000188

State v. Edward Robinson Jr.

Notice Appt of Addtl Authority (STRICKEN)

By order of the Court, Appellant's notice of additional authority, construed as an attempt to expand the appellate record or supplement the brief of appellant without leave, is stricken as an improper filing.

S-25-000668

State v. Ross S Lorello III

By order of the Court re Brf Attachments

By order of the Court, Exhibits A, B, and C attached to the brief of Appellant are stricken.

S-26-000214

In the Matter of Candice J. Novak, Douglas County Juvenile Court Judge

ROD Complaint

Public Reprimand. See Public Reprimand on Supreme Court website.

S-26-000214

In the Matter of Candice J. Novak, Douglas County Juvenile Court Judge

ROD Judgment for Costs

Order of the Judicial Qualifications Commission for Costs.

FILED

MAR 27 2026

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT  
COURT APPEALS

In re Estate of William K. Metcalf, deceased. )  
 )  
 Abigail Christiansen, successor personal )  
 representative of the Estate of William K. )  
 Metcalf, deceased, )  
 )  
 Appellee, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 Nicholas Metcalf, )  
 )  
 Appellant, )  
 )  
 and )  
 )  
 Jordan Metcalf et al., )  
 )  
 Appellees. )  
 )  
 )

No. A-26-207.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This matter is before the court for jurisdictional review. On March 18, 2026, appellant filed a notice of appeal within 30 days of the county court’s February 27 order. However, the February 27 order directed the appellant to “reimburse the Estate in an amount equal to that set out in the inventory,” and directed counsel to submit an order granting the petitioner’s prayer for relief. A second order, entered on March 20, clarified the provisions of the prior order, including specifying the amounts owed and property to be transferred by appellant to the Estate



of William K. Metcalf. Appellant has supplemented the transcript to include the March 20 order; however, there is a question as to whether the February 27 or March 20 order constitutes a final appealable order.

The general rule of law is that a judgment must be sufficiently certain in its terms to be able to be enforced. The judgment must be in such a form that a clerk is able to issue an execution upon it which an officer will be able to execute without requiring external proof and another hearing. A judgment for money must specify with definiteness and certainty the amount for which it is rendered. See *Friedman v. Friedman*, 290 Neb. 973, 981–82, 863 N.W.2d 153, 160 (2015); See, also, *Heritage Bank Trust of Charles L. Gabel Revocable Trust v. Gabel*, 298 Neb. 961, 906 N.W.2d 640 (2018).

Appellant has 7 days to show this court that his appeal was properly and timely filed from a final, appealable order. Failure to respond may be cause for this appeal to be summarily dismissed pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(1) without further notice.

Dated this 27<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

BY THE COURT:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Riko Bishop, Judge